

# Finite element approximation of the stochastic wave equation

Mihály Kovács

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

RDS'09 Bielefeld, 18-20 Nov 2009

# Outline

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u = \dot{W}, & x \in \mathcal{D}, \ t > 0 \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\mathcal{D}, \ t > 0 \\ u(0) = u_0, \ u_t(0) = u_1. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Abstract framework
- ▶ Finite element approximation
- ▶ Strong convergence
- ▶ Weak convergence

# Co-workers

Stig Larsson (Chalmers)  
Fardin Saedpanah (Chalmers)  
Fredrik Lindgren (Chalmers)

# Semigroup approach

Linear SPDE with additive noise:

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) + AX(t) dt = B dW(t), & t > 0 \\ X(0) = X_0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0})$ , filtered probability space
- ▶  $H, U$  Hilbert spaces
- ▶  $W(t)$ ,  $Q$ -Wiener process on  $U$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$
- ▶  $B : U \rightarrow H$ , bounded linear operator
- ▶  $X(t)$ ,  $H$ -valued stochastic process
- ▶  $E(t) = e^{-tA}$ ,  $C_0$ -semigroup of bounded linear operators on  $H$
- ▶  $X_0$  is  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable  $H$ -valued random variable

## Weak solution

A weak solution satisfies the integral equation: for all  $\eta \in D(A^*)$

$$\langle X(t), \eta \rangle + \int_0^t \langle X(s), A^* \eta \rangle \, ds = \langle X_0, \eta \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \eta, B dW(s) \rangle$$

The unique weak solution is given by (mild solution)

$$X(t) = E(t)X_0 + \int_0^t E(t-s)B dW(s)$$

Must give a rigorous meaning to  $W(t)$  and define the stochastic integral.

## $Q$ -Wiener process

- ▶ covariance operator  $Q : U \rightarrow U$ , self-adjoint, positive definite, bounded, linear operator
- ▶  $Qe_j = \gamma_j e_j$ ,  $\gamma_j > 0$ ,  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  ON basis
- ▶  $\beta_j(t)$ , independent identically distributed, real-valued, Brownian motions
- ▶  $W(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{1/2} \beta_j(t) e_j$

Two important cases:

- ▶  $\text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$ .  $W(t)$  converges in  $L_2(\Omega, U)$ :  
$$\mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{1/2} \beta_j(t) e_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \mathbf{E}(\beta_j(t)^2) = t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j = t \text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$$
- ▶  $Q = I$ , “white noise”.  $W(t)$  is not  $U$ -valued, since  $\text{Tr}(I) = \infty$ , but converges in a weaker sense.

## $Q$ -Wiener process

If  $\text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$ :

- ▶  $W(0) = 0$
- ▶ continuous paths  $t \mapsto W(t)$
- ▶ independent increments
- ▶ Gaussian law  $\mathbf{P} \circ (W(t) - W(s))^{-1} = N(0, (t-s)Q), \quad s \leq t$

$\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  generates a filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  so that it becomes a square integrable  $U$ -valued martingale.

We can integrate with respect to  $W$ :  $\int_0^t B(s) dW(s).$

The integral can be defined also when  $\text{Tr}(Q) = \infty$ .

# Stochastic integral

$$X(t) = E(t)X_0 + \int_0^t E(t-s) dW(s), \quad t \geq 0$$

- ▶ We can define the stochastic integral (deterministic integrand)  
 $\int_0^t B(s) dW(s)$  if  $\int_0^t \|B(s)Q^{1/2}\|_{HS}^2 ds < \infty$ .
- ▶ Isometry property:

$$\mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t B(s) dW(s) \right\|^2 = \int_0^t \|B(s)Q^{1/2}\|_{HS}^2 ds$$

Hilbert-Schmidt operator  $B$ :

$$\|B\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{I=1}^{\infty} \|B\varphi_I\|^2 < \infty, \quad \{\varphi_I\} \text{ arbitrary ON basis in } U$$

Da Prato and Zabczyk, *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*  
C. Prévôt and M. Röckner, *A Concise Course on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*

# The stochastic wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(\xi, t) - \Delta u(\xi, t) = \dot{W}(\xi, t), & \xi \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbf{R}^d, \quad t > 0 \\ u(\xi, t) = 0, & \xi \in \partial\mathcal{D}, \quad t > 0 \\ u(\xi, 0) = u_0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\xi, 0) = u_1, & \xi \in \mathcal{D} \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda = -\Delta, \quad D(\Lambda) = \dot{H}^2 = H^2(\mathcal{D}) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{D})$$

$$\dot{H}^\beta = D(\Lambda^{\beta/2}), \quad |v|_\beta = \|\Lambda^{\beta/2} v\| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^\beta (v, \phi_j)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \beta \in \mathbf{R}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} du \\ du_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\Lambda & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{bmatrix} dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} dW, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\Lambda & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H = \dot{H}^0 \times \dot{H}^{-1}, \quad D(A) = \dot{H}^1 \times \dot{H}^0, \quad U = \dot{H}^0 = L_2(\mathcal{D})$$

## Abstract framework

Let  $u_0 = 0$ ,  $u_1 = 0$  for simplicity.

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) + AX(t) dt = B dW(t), & t > 0 \\ X(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶  $X(t)$ ,  $H = \dot{H}^0 \times \dot{H}^{-1}$ -valued stochastic process
- ▶  $W(t)$ ,  $U = \dot{H}^0$ -valued Q-Wiener process w.r.t  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$
- ▶  $E(t) = e^{-tA} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t\Lambda^{1/2}) & \Lambda^{-1/2} \sin(t\Lambda^{1/2}) \\ -\Lambda^{1/2} \sin(t\Lambda^{1/2}) & \cos(t\Lambda^{1/2}) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $C_0$ -semigroup

$$\cos(t\Lambda^{1/2})v = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \cos(t\sqrt{\lambda_j})(v, \phi_j)\phi_j \quad (\lambda_j, \phi_j \text{ are the eigenpairs of } \Lambda)$$

# Regularity

**Theorem.** If  $\|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2} Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$  for some  $\beta \geq 0$ , then there exists a unique weak solution

$$X(t) = \begin{bmatrix} X_1(t) \\ X_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \int_0^t E(t-s)B dW(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^t \Lambda^{-1/2} \sin((t-s)\Lambda^{1/2}) dW(s) \\ \int_0^t \cos((t-s)\Lambda^{1/2}) dW(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\|X(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\beta \times \dot{H}^{\beta-1})} \leq C(t) \|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2} Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}}.$$

Two cases:

- ▶ If  $\|Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$ , then  $\beta = 1$ .
- ▶ If  $Q = I$ , then  $\|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$  iff  $d = 1, \beta < 1/2$ .

# The finite element method

## Spatial discretization

- ▶ triangulations  $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{0 < h < 1}$ , mesh size  $h$
- ▶ finite element spaces  $\{S_h\}_{0 < h < 1}$
- ▶  $S_h \subset \dot{H}^1 = H_0^1(\mathcal{D})$  continuous piecewise linear functions
- ▶  $\Lambda_h : S_h \rightarrow S_h$ , discrete Laplacian,  $(\Lambda_h \psi, \chi) = (\nabla \psi, \nabla \chi)$ ,  $\forall \chi \in S_h$
- ▶  $P_h : \dot{H}^0 \rightarrow S_h$ , orthogonal projection,  $(P_h f, \chi) = (f, \chi)$ ,  $\forall \chi \in S_h$
- ▶  $A_h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\Lambda_h & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ P_h \end{bmatrix}$
- ▶ 
$$\begin{cases} dX_h(t) + A_h X_h(t) dt = B_h dW(t), & t > 0 \\ X_h(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$
- ▶  $E_h(t) = e^{-tA_h} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t\Lambda_h^{1/2}) & \Lambda_h^{-1/2} \sin(t\Lambda_h^{1/2}) \\ -\Lambda_h^{1/2} \sin(t\Lambda_h^{1/2}) & \cos(t\Lambda_h^{1/2}) \end{bmatrix}$

# The finite element method (continued)

The weak solution is:

$$\begin{aligned} X_h(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} X_{h,1}(t) \\ X_{h,2}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \int_0^t E_h(t-s) B_h dW(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^t \Lambda_h^{-1/2} \sin((t-s)\Lambda_h^{1/2}) P_h dW(s) \\ \int_0^t \cos((t-s)\Lambda_h^{1/2}) P_h dW(s) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\cos(t\Lambda_h^{1/2})v = \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \cos(t\sqrt{\lambda_{h,j}})(v, \phi_{h,j})\phi_{h,j}$$

$\lambda_{h,j}, \phi_{h,j}$  are the eigenpairs of  $\Lambda_h$

# Strong and weak error

- ▶ Strong error:

$$\|X_h(t) - X(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)} = (\mathbf{E}\|X_h(t) - X(t)\|_H^2)^{1/2}$$

- ▶ Weak error:

$$\mathbf{E}G(X_h(T)) - \mathbf{E}G(X(T))$$

for  $G : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

## Error estimates for the deterministic problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt}(t) + \Lambda v(t) = 0, & t > 0 \\ v(0) = 0, \quad v_t(0) = f \end{cases} \Rightarrow v(t) = \Lambda^{-1/2} \sin(t\Lambda^{1/2})f$$

$$\begin{cases} v_{h,tt}(t) + \Lambda_h v_h(t) = 0, & t > 0 \\ v_h(0) = 0, \quad v_{h,t}(0) = P_h f \end{cases} \Rightarrow v_h(t) = \Lambda_h^{-1/2} \sin(t\Lambda_h^{1/2})P_h f$$

We have, for  $K_h(t) = \Lambda_h^{-1/2} \sin(t\Lambda_h^{1/2})P_h - \Lambda^{-1/2} \sin(t\Lambda^{1/2})$

$$\|K_h(t)f\| \leq C(t)h^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \quad \text{"initial regularity of order 3"}$$

$$\|K_h(t)f\| \leq 2\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}} \quad \text{"initial regularity of order 0" (stability)}$$

$$\|K_h(t)f\| \leq C(t)h^{\frac{2}{3}\beta} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\beta-1}}, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 3$$

$\beta - 1$  can not be replaced by  $\beta - 1 - \epsilon$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  (J. Rauch 1985)

## Strong convergence in $L_2$ norm

**Theorem.** If  $\|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2} Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$  for some  $\beta \in [0, 3]$ , then

$$\|X_{h,1}(t) - X_1(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^0)} \leq C(t) h^{\frac{2}{3}\beta} \|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2} Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}}$$

Higher order FEM:  $O(h^{\frac{r}{r+1}\beta})$ ,  $\beta \in [0, r+1]$ .

**Proof.**  $\{f_k\}$  an arbitrary ON basis in  $\dot{H}^0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_{h,1}(t) - X_1(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^0)}^2 &= \mathbf{E}(\|X_{h,1}(t) - X_1(t)\|^2) \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left(\left\|\int_0^t K_h(t-s) dW(s)\right\|^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{Isometry}\} &= \int_0^t \|K_h(s) Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 ds = \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|K_h(s) Q^{1/2} f_k\|^2 ds \\ &\leq C(t) h^{\frac{4}{3}\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|Q^{1/2} f_k\|_{\dot{H}^{\beta-1}}^2 = C(t) h^{\frac{4}{3}\beta} \|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2} Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Strong convergence in $L_2$ norm (special cases)

Two cases:

- ▶ If  $\|Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$ , then  $\beta = 1$ .  
$$\|X_{h,1}(t) - X_1(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^0)} \leq C(t) h^{2/3}$$
- ▶ If  $Q = I$ , then  $\|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$  iff  $d = 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1/2$ .  
$$\|X_{h,1}(t) - X_1(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^0)} \leq C(t) h^s, \quad s < 1/3$$

Earlier works in one dimension ( $d = 1, Q = I$ ):

- ▶ L. Quer-Sardanyons & M. Sanz-Solé 2006: spatially semidiscrete difference scheme  $O(h^s), s < 1/3$
- ▶ J.B. Walsh 2006: a fully discrete leapfrog method  $O(h^{1/2})$

Proof technique: Green's function.

- ▶ We extend Quer-Sardanyons & Sanz-Solé.
- ▶ We explain the discrepancy with Walsh.

## Comparison with the heat equation

Regularity is the same for both the heat and wave equations:

$$\|X_1(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\beta)} \leq C \|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2} Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}}$$

Strong convergence in  $L_2$ -norm:

$$\|X_{h,1}(t) - X_1(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^0)} \leq C h^{\frac{2}{3}\beta} \|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2} Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}} \quad (\text{wave equation})$$

$$\|X_h(t) - X(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^0)} \leq C h^\beta \|\Lambda^{(\beta-1)/2} Q^{1/2}\|_{\text{HS}} \quad (\text{heat equation})$$

## Weak error representation: preliminaries

Consider

$$dY(t) = E(T-t)B dW(t), \quad t \in (0, T]; \quad Y(0) = E(T)X_0,$$

with weak solution

$$Y(t) = E(T)X_0 + \int_0^t E(T-s)B dW(s).$$

Similarly, consider

$$dY_h(t) = E_h(T-t)B dW(t), \quad t \in (0, T]; \quad Y_h(0) = E_h(T)X_{0,h},$$

with weak solution

$$Y_h(t) = E_h(T)X_{0,h} + \int_0^t E_h(T-s)B_h dW(s).$$

Note:  $X(T) = Y(T)$ ,  $X_h(T) = Y_h(T)$ . **No drift term** in eq. for  $Y$  and  $Y_h$ .

## Weak error representation: preliminaries

Auxiliary problem:

$$dZ(t) = E(T-t)B dW(t), \quad t \in (\tau, T]; \quad Z(\tau) = \xi,$$

where  $\xi$  is a  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ -measurable random variable.

Unique weak solution:  $Z(t, \tau, \xi) = \xi + \int_\tau^t E(T-s)B dW(s)$

Define  $u : H \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$u(x, t) = \mathbf{E}(G(Z(T, t, x))).$$

If  $G \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $u$  is a solution to Kolmogorov's equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(u_{xx}(x, t)E(T-t)BQ[E(T-t)B]^*) &= 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in H, \\ u(x, T) &= G(x), \end{aligned}$$

## Weak error representation: preliminaries

Nuclear operators on  $H$ :

$$T \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$$

if there are sequences  $\{a_j\}, \{b_j\} \subset H$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|a_j\| \|b_j\| < \infty$  and such that

$$Tx = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, b_j \rangle a_j, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Banach space with norm:  $\|T\|_{\text{Tr}} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|a_j\| \|b_j\| : Tx = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, b_j \rangle a_j \right\}$ .

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$ :  $\text{Tr}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle Te_k, e_k \rangle$  with  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  ONB of  $H$

Connection with HS:  $\|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \text{Tr}(T^* T) = \|T^* T\|_{\text{Tr}}$

## Weak error representation

**THEOREM.** If

$$\text{Tr} \left( \int_0^T E(t) B Q [E(t) B]^* dt \right) < \infty$$

and  $G \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$ , then the weak error  $e_h(T) = \mathbf{E}G(X_h(T)) - \mathbf{E}G(X(T))$  has the representation

$$\begin{aligned} e_h(T) &= \mathbf{E}(u(Y_h(0), 0) - u(Y(0), 0)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \text{Tr} \left( u_{xx}(Y_h(t), t) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. [E_h(T-t)B_h + E(T-t)B]Q[E_h(T-t)B_h - E(T-t)B]^* \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

## Weak error representation: proof

If  $\xi$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$  measurable, then  $u(\xi, t) = \mathbf{E}\left(G(Z(T, t, \xi)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right)$ . Therefore, by the law of double expectation,

$$\mathbf{E}(u(\xi, t)) = \mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{E}\left(G(Z(T, t, \xi)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right)\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(G(Z(T, t, \xi))\right).$$

Thus, with  $\xi = Y(0)$  and  $t = 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}(u(Y(0), 0)) = \mathbf{E}\left(G(Z(T, 0, Y(0)))\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(G(Y(T))\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(G(X(T))\right)$$

and, with  $\xi = Y_h(T)$  and  $t = T$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}(u(Y_h(T), T)) = \mathbf{E}\left(G(Z(T, T, Y_h(T)))\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(G(Y_h(T))\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(G(X_h(T))\right).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} e_h(T) &= \mathbf{E}\left(G(X_h(T)) - G(X(T))\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(u(Y_h(T), T) - u(Y(0), 0)\right) \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left(u(Y_h(0), 0) - u(Y(0), 0)\right) + \mathbf{E}\left(u(Y_h(T), T) - u(Y_h(0), 0)\right). \end{aligned}$$

## Weak error representation: proof

Using Itô's formula for  $u(Y_h(t), t)$  and Kolmogorov's equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left( u(Y_h(T), T) - u(Y_h(0), 0) \right) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \int_0^T u_t(Y_h(t), t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left( u_{xx}(Y_h(t), t) [E_h(T-t)B_h]Q[E_h(T-t)B_h]^* \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \operatorname{Tr} \left( u_{xx}(Y_h(t), t) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. [E_h(T-t)B_h]Q[E_h(T-t)B_h]^* - [E(T-t)B]Q[E(T-t)B]^* \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The proof can be finished by algebraic manipulation and playing around with traces.

## Applications: Wave equation

**THEOREM:** Let  $g \in C_b^2(\dot{H}^0, \mathbb{R})$  and assume that  $\|\Lambda^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} Q \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{Tr}} < \infty$  for some  $\beta \in [0, \frac{r+1}{2}]$ . Then, there are  $C > 0$ ,  $h_0 > 0$ , depending on  $g$ ,  $X_0$ ,  $Q$ , and  $T$  but not on  $h$ , such that for  $h \leq h_0$ ,

$$|\mathbf{E}(g(X_{h,1}(T)) - g(X_1(T)))| \leq Ch^{\frac{r}{r+1}2\beta}.$$

The proof uses the weak error representation theorem with  $G(X) := g(P_1 X) = g(X_1)$  and the deterministic error estimate

$$\|K_h(t)\| := \|\Lambda_h^{-1/2} \sin(t\Lambda_h^{1/2}) P_h v - \Lambda^{-1/2} \sin(t\Lambda^{1/2}) v\| \leq C(T) h^{\frac{r}{r+1}s} |v|_{s-1},$$

$$t \in [0, T], \quad s \in [0, r+1].$$

## Wave equation: sketch of proof

Set  $G(X) := g(X_1)$ .

$$(u_x(Y(t), t), \Phi) = \mathbf{E}(\langle g'(P_1 Z(Y(t), t, T)), P_1 \Phi \rangle | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

and

$$(u_{xx}(Y(t), t)\Phi, \Psi) = \mathbf{E}(\langle g''(P_1 Z(Y(t), t, T))P_1 \Phi, P_1 \Psi \rangle | \mathcal{F}_t).$$

Recall, the abstract weak error representation:

$$\begin{aligned} e_h(T) &= \mathbf{E}(u(Y_h(0), 0) - u(Y(0), 0)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \text{Tr} \left( u_{xx}(Y_h(t), t) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. [E_h(T-t)B_h - E(T-t)B]Q[E_h(T-t)B_h + E(T-t)B]^* \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

## Wave equation: sketch of proof

The first term:

$$|\mathbf{E}(u(Y_h(0), 0) - u(Y(0), 0))| \leq C \sup_{x \in \dot{H}^0} \|g'(x)\| Ch^{\frac{2r}{r+1}\beta} \mathbf{E}|||X_0|||_{2\beta}.$$

The second term, using  $G(X) = g(X_1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left( \text{Tr} \left( u_{xx}(Y_h(t), t) [E_h(T-t)B_h + E(T-t)B] Q [E_h(T-t)B_h - E(T-t)B]^* \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left( \text{Tr} \left( K_h(T-t) Q [\Lambda_h^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_h(T-t) P_h + \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} S(T-t)] g''(P_1 Z(Y(t), t, T)) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

## Wave equation: remark

Recall strong convergence rate:  $O(h^{\frac{r}{r+1}\beta})$

under the assumption  $\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$ .

It can be shown that

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \leq \|\Lambda^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} Q \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{Tr}}$$

with equality when  $A$  and  $Q$  have a common basis of eigenvectors,  
in particular, when  $Q = I$ .

If  $Q = I$ , then  $d = 1$ ,  $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$  and the weak rate is almost  $O(h^{\frac{r}{r+1}})$ .

## Related results

This weak convergence analysis is from:

Kovács, Larsson and Lindgren, preprint 2009

In this paper we also study:

- ▶ stochastic heat equation

$$dX + \Lambda X dt = dW$$

strong rate:  $O(h^\beta)$  Yan 2004

weak rate:  $O(h^{2\beta} |\log(h)|)$  Debussche and Printems 2009 (fully discrete)  
Geissert, Kovács and Larsson 2009

nonlinear equation in 1-D (only time-stepping): Debussche 2008, to appear

- ▶ linearized stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation

$$dX + \Lambda^2 X dt = dW$$

$$dX_h + \Lambda_h^2 X_h dt = P_h dW$$

Weak error for the leapfrog scheme: Hausenblas preprint 2009