

COMPUTATIONS IN THE MOD 2 LAZARD RING

MARKUS ROST

preliminary version

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Basic definitions	1
2. A preliminary computation	3
3. Operations defined by power series	4
4. Steenrod squares	5
5. A contracting property of Sq^0	7
6. The logarithm	9
References	10

INTRODUCTION

This text contains purely algebraic considerations in the mod 2 Lazard ring L . We define operations

$$Sq^k : L \rightarrow L.$$

and prove a vanishing property for them (Theorem 2). We apply this to obtain the canonical logarithm of the universal mod 2 Lazard formal group law. This approach does not involve the usual game with binomial coefficients.

This text is preliminary in a manifold sense: We only define the logarithm, but do not describe it in more detail. Missing are also the Landweber-Novikov operations. The geometric analogies of the material are only mentioned partially in some side remarks. No attempt has been made on the mod p -analogies.

1. BASIC DEFINITIONS

Let R be a \mathbf{F}_2 -algebra. By a mod 2 *formal group law* we understand a power series

$$F(x, y) \in R[[x, y]]$$

such that

- (1) $F(x, 0) = F(0, x) = x,$
- (2) $F(x, F(y, z)) = F(F(x, y), z),$
- (3) $F(y, x) = F(x, y),$
- (4) $F(x, x) = 0.$

These equations are understood in the rings $R[[x]]$, $R[[x, y, z]]$, etc.

Date: March 10, 2000.

It follows from (1) that

$$F(x, y) = x + y + \sum_{i, j \geq 1} a_{i, j} x^i y^j$$

with $a_{i, j} \in R$. Sometimes we write for simplicity

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{i, j} a_{i, j} x^i y^j,$$

thereby understanding $i, j \geq 0$, $i + j \geq 1$, $a_{1, 0} = a_{0, 1} = 1$, and $a_{i, 0} = a_{0, j} = 0$ for $i, j > 1$.

Let

$$\tilde{L} = \mathbf{F}_2[u_{i, j}]$$

be the polynomial ring in the variables $u_{i, j}$, $i, j \geq 1$ and let

$$I \subset \tilde{L}$$

be the smallest ideal such that

$$F_{\text{univ}}(x, y) = x + y + \sum_{i, j \geq 1} u_{i, j} x^i y^j$$

becomes a mod 2 formal group law over the quotient

$$L = \tilde{L}/I.$$

The ring L is called the mod 2 *Lazard ring* and F_{univ} , considered as element of $L[[x, y]]$, is called the *universal mod 2 formal group law*.

For any mod 2 formal group law

$$F(x, y) = x + y + \sum_{i, j \geq 1} a_{i, j} x^i y^j \in R[[x, y]]$$

there exist a unique ring homomorphism

$$\rho_F: L \rightarrow R$$

with

$$\rho_F(u_{i, j}) = a_{i, j}.$$

All formal group laws considered in this text are mod 2 formal group laws. We will call them simply “formal group laws” and L will be called “the Lazard ring”.

We consider \tilde{L} as a \mathbf{Z} -graded ring with

$$\deg u_{i, j} = 1 - i - j.$$

For a \mathbf{Z} -graded ring R we extend the grading to any power series ring $R[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ by associating the degree 1 to each of variables x_i .

This way $F_{\text{univ}}(x, y) \in \tilde{L}[[x, y]]$ is homogeneous of degree 1. Also, the equations (1)–(4) are homogeneous. It follows that the ideal I is a homogeneous ideal. Therefore the Lazard ring carries a \mathbf{Z} -grading

$$L = \bigoplus_{k \leq 0} L^k$$

with

$$u_{i, j} \in L^{1-i-j}.$$

Remark. The cobordism ring of a space X can be described as

$$\mathcal{N}^*(X) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{N}^k(X),$$

$$\mathcal{N}^k(X) = [X_+, S^k \wedge \text{MO}].$$

One has $\mathcal{N}^k(X) = 0$ for $k > \dim X$. For nonempty X the groups $\mathcal{N}^k(X)$ are nonzero in non-positive degrees. The Lazard ring L is isomorphic to the unoriented cobordism ring $\mathcal{N}^* = \mathcal{N}^*(\text{point})$.

Once in a while we will refer to these facts for some explanations. We will certainly not make use of them, because this text is supposed as a preparation to establish the isomorphism $L = \mathcal{N}^*$.

The negative grading on L introduced above coincides with the natural grading on \mathcal{N}^* . For $k > 0$ one has $L^k = \mathcal{N}^k = 0$ and for $k \geq 0$ the group $L^{-k} = \mathcal{N}^{-k}$ is the group of bordism classes of k -dimensional smooth compact manifolds.

2. A PRELIMINARY COMPUTATION

Let $F(x, y)$ be a (mod 2) formal group law over R . We consider the (continuous) homomorphism over $R[[t]]$

$$\tau: R[[t, x]] \rightarrow R[[t, x]],$$

$$x \mapsto F(t, x).$$

Note that τ is an involution:

$$\tau^2(x) = F(t, F(t, x)) = F(F(t, t), x) = F(0, x) = x.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $f \in R[[t, x]]$ with $\tau(f) = f$. Then there exist a unique element $g \in R[[t, u]]$ such that*

$$f(t, x) = g(t, xF(t, x)).$$

Proof. Let $u = x\tau(x) = xF(t, x)$. Then

$$u = x^2 + t\alpha$$

for some $\alpha \in R[[t, x]]$. Using standard arguments for power series rings, it follows that

$$R[[t, x]] = R[[t, u]] \oplus xR[[t, u]].$$

Note that $\tau(u) = u$, so every element of the subring $R[[t, u]]$ is τ -invariant. Write f as

$$f(t, x) = g(t, u) + xh(t, u).$$

Then $\tau(f) = f$ implies

$$F(t, x)h(t, u) = xh(t, u).$$

However

$$F(t, x) - x = t + xt \sum_{i,j \geq 1} a_{i,j} x^{i-1} t^{j-1}$$

is not a zero divisor. Thus $h = 0$. □

3. OPERATIONS DEFINED BY POWER SERIES

Let

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} x^i y^j$$

be a (mod 2) formal group law over R and let

$$q(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i x^{i+1} \in R[[x]]$$

be a power series with c_0 invertible in R . Then

$$\begin{aligned} R[[x]] &\rightarrow R[[x]], \\ x &\mapsto q(x) \end{aligned}$$

defines an automorphism of $R[[x]]$ over R . In particular there exist the inverse power series

$$q^{-1}(x) \in R[[x]]$$

with

$$q(q^{-1}(x)) = q^{-1}(q(x)) = x.$$

We put

$$F_q(x, y) = q(F(q^{-1}(x), q^{-1}(y))) \in R[[x, y]].$$

This is a formal group law, obtained from the formal group law F by means of the coordinate change $x \mapsto q(x)$. Therefore there exist a ring homomorphism

$$\theta_q = \rho_{F_q} : L \rightarrow R$$

such that

$$(5) \quad q(F(x, y)) = \sum_{i,j} \theta_q(u_{i,j}) q(x)^i q(y)^j.$$

We extend θ_q to the continuous homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\theta}_q : L[[x_1, \dots, x_r]] &\rightarrow R[[x_1, \dots, x_r]], \\ x_i &\mapsto q(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\bar{\theta}_q(F_{\text{univ}}(x_k, x_l)) = q(F(x_k, x_l)).$$

by (5).

This means for instance that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L[[z]] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}_q} & R[[z]] \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow \\ L[[x, y]] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}_q} & R[[x, y]]. \end{array}$$

Here the maps α are the identity on L resp. R and map z to $F(x, y)$. The homomorphisms $\bar{\theta}_q$ are understood as above: they extend $\theta_q : L \rightarrow R$ by $u \mapsto q(u)$ for $u = x, y, z$.

Remark. These considerations are the formal group analogies of a construction in (unoriented) cobordism theory, see [1]. Given the power series $q(x)$, one may define for topological spaces X a natural transformation

$$\Theta_q: \mathcal{N}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^*(X) \otimes_L R.$$

Here the tensor product is understood via the isomorphism $L \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^*$, given by the canonical formal group law in $\mathcal{N}^*(\mathbf{P}^\infty \times \mathbf{P}^\infty) = \mathcal{N}^*[[x, y]]$, and via $\rho_F: L \rightarrow R$, given by the formal group law F .

The homomorphisms $\theta_q: L[[x_1, \dots, x_r]] \rightarrow R[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ are the formal analogies of the operations Θ_q for $X = \mathbf{P}^\infty \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^\infty$.

The commutative diagram (6) corresponds to the functoriality of Θ_q with respect to the map $\mathbf{P}^\infty \times \mathbf{P}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\infty$, the sum map for the Eilenberg-MacLane space $\mathbf{P}^\infty = H(\mathbf{Z}/2, 1)$.

The operations considered in the next section are the formal analogies of the Steenrod squares in cobordism theory.

4. STEENROD SQUARES

Now let

$$R = L[[t]][t^{-1}]$$

be the ring of Laurent series over L , let

$$F(x, y) = F_{\text{univ}}(x, y) = \sum_{i, j} u_{i, j} x^i y^j \in R[[x, y]]$$

be the universal formal group law considered as formal group law over R (so that $\rho_F: L \rightarrow R$ is the inclusion), and let

$$q(x) = \frac{x F(x, t)}{t} \in L[[t, x]][t^{-1}] \subset R[[x]].$$

Then

$$q(x) = x + \frac{x^2}{t} + x^2 \sum_{i, j \geq 1} u_{i, j} x^{i-1} t^{j-1},$$

and $x \rightarrow q(x)$ defines an invertible endomorphism of $R[[x]]$ over R . Thus we may apply the construction of the previous section and get a ring homomorphism $\theta_q: L \rightarrow R$. We write $\text{Sq} = \theta_q$. Thus Sq is the ring homomorphism

$$\text{Sq}: L \rightarrow L[[t]][t^{-1}]$$

such that

$$(7) \quad q\left(\sum_{i, j} u_{i, j} x^i y^j\right) = \sum_{i, j} \text{Sq}(u_{i, j}) q(x)^i q(y)^j.$$

Note that q is homogeneous of degree 1, and therefore Sq is homogeneous of degree 0 (with respect to the \mathbf{Z} -gradings given by the grading on L and by $\deg x = \deg t = 1$). We write

$$\text{Sq} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} t^{-k} \text{Sq}^k$$

with additive homomorphisms

$$\text{Sq}^k: L \rightarrow L.$$

Then Sq^k is homogeneous of degree k , that is

$$\text{Sq}^k(L^n) \subset L^{n+k}.$$

The maps Sq^k are called *Steenrod squares*. In the following we establish the properties to be expected from operations with this name.

The Cartan formula

$$\text{Sq}^k(\alpha\beta) = \sum_{h+l=k} \text{Sq}^h(\alpha) \text{Sq}^l(\beta)$$

follows from the multiplicativity of the total Steenrod square Sq .

Since L is concentrated in non-positive degrees, it follows that

$$\text{Sq}^k(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in L^n, k > -n.$$

The next theorem sharpens this a priori vanishing property.

Theorem 2. *Let $\alpha \in L^n$. Then*

$$(8) \quad \text{Sq}^n(\alpha) = \alpha^2,$$

$$(9) \quad \text{Sq}^k(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > n.$$

Proof. By (7) we have

$$\frac{F(x, y)F(F(x, y), t)}{t} = \sum_{i, j} \text{Sq}(u_{i, j}) \left(\frac{x F(x, t)}{t} \right)^i \left(\frac{y F(y, t)}{t} \right)^j,$$

or

$$F(x, y)F(F(x, y), t) = \sum_{i, j} \frac{\text{Sq}(u_{i, j})}{t^{i+j-1}} (x F(x, t))^i (y F(y, t))^j.$$

The left hand side is an element of $L[[t, x, y]]$ and is invariant under the involutions given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x: \quad & t \mapsto t, \quad x \mapsto F(t, x), \quad y \mapsto y, \\ \tau_y: \quad & t \mapsto t, \quad x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto F(t, y). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x(F(x, y)F(F(x, y), t)) &= F(F(t, x), y)F(F(F(t, x), y), t) \\ &= F(F(x, y), t)F(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for τ_y .

The involutions τ_x, τ_y commute, so we may apply Lemma 1 to them successively and find that

$$(10) \quad F(x, y)F(F(x, y), t) = \sum_{i, j} Q_{i, j}(t) u_x^i u_y^j$$

with $u_x = x F(t, x)$, $u_y = y F(t, y)$, and $Q_{i, j} \in L[[t]]$. Comparing coefficients we get

$$\frac{\text{Sq}(u_{i, j})}{t^{i+j-1}} = Q_{i, j}(t).$$

This proves (9) for $\alpha = u_{i, j}$.

Moreover, setting $t = 0$ in (10), we get

$$F(x, y)^2 = \sum_{i, j} Q_{i, j}(0) x^{2i} y^{2j}.$$

Hence $Q_{i, j}(0) = u_{i, j}^2$. This proves (8) for $\alpha = u_{i, j}$.

Since L is generated by the $u_{i, j}$, the claims follow from the Cartan formula. \square

Remark. The vanishing properties

$$(11) \quad \text{Sq}^k(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in L^n, -n \geq k > n.$$

have the following geometric analogue:

Proposition 3. *Let M be a compact n -manifold. The pair $(\mathbf{P}(TM), \mathbf{L}(TM))$ consisting of the projective tangent bundle of M and its tautological line bundle is bordant.*

Proof. By the *strict blow up* of a manifold Y in a submanifold Z we understand the manifold $X \rightarrow Y$ obtained from Y by “cutting along Z ”, that is by replacing Z by the sphere bundle $\mathbf{S}(N)$ of the normal bundle of Z in Y . If Y has no boundary, then X is a manifold with boundary $\mathbf{S}(N)$. The usual (real) blow up of Y in Z is the quotient of the strict blow up by the involution $v \mapsto -v$ on $\mathbf{S}(N)$. See [6, p. 56–57] for details.

Now let $X \rightarrow M \times M$ be the strict blow up in the diagonal. The switch involution on $M \times M$ lifts to an involution σ on X . This involution is fixed point free. The double cover $X \rightarrow X/\sigma$ has as boundary the double cover $\mathbf{S}(TM) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(TM)$. The latter is the sphere bundle of the line bundle $\mathbf{L}(TM)$ which therefore extends to a line bundle on X/σ . \square

One may represent the pair $(\mathbf{P}(TM), \mathbf{L}(TM))$ by a map $f: \mathbf{P}(TM) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\infty$. Then the proposition means that f is bordant.

Since $\dim \mathbf{P}(TM) = 2n - 1$, we may represent the pair by a map $f: \mathbf{P}(TM) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2n-1}$. The bordism group of \mathbf{P}^r injects into the bordism group of \mathbf{P}^∞ . Therefore the map f will be bordant also as map to \mathbf{P}^{2n-1} . Thus we get a relation

$$0 = [f] \in \mathcal{N}^0(\mathbf{P}^{2n-1}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n-1} \mathcal{N}^i.$$

The $2n$ relations of (11) are the formal analogies of the vanishing of the $2n$ components of $[f]$.

All the Wu-relations among Stiefel-Whitney numbers are encoded in these relations. For instance the 0-th component of $[f]$ is just $w_n(-TM)[M] \in \mathbf{F}_2$. The formal analogue of this is $\text{Sq}^n(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in L^{-n}$.

These relations appear in some form in the approaches to the cobordism ring by Quillen [7], [1] and Buonchristiano and Hacon [2], [3], [4], [5].

5. A CONTRACTING PROPERTY OF Sq^0

For our power series

$$q(x) = \frac{x F(x, t)}{t}$$

we consider now the associated homomorphisms $\bar{\theta}_q$ (see section 3), which we denote by Sq as well. Thus we have ring homomorphisms

$$\text{Sq}: L[[x_1, \dots, x_r]] \rightarrow L[[t]][t^{-1}][[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$$

extending Sq on L and with $\text{Sq}(x_i) = q(x_i)$.

Note that if we restrict to the polynomial rings, we get homomorphisms

$$\text{Sq}: L[x_1, \dots, x_r] \rightarrow L[[t, x_1, \dots, x_r]][t^{-1}].$$

Again we write

$$\mathrm{Sq} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} t^{-k} \mathrm{Sq}^k$$

with additive homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Sq}^k : L[[x_1, \dots, x_r]] \rightarrow L[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$$

of degree k .

Remark. These operations are the formal versions the Steenrod squaring operations on $\mathcal{N}^*(\mathbf{P}^\infty \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^\infty)$.

Let

$$I = \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle L[[x_1, \dots, x_r]] \subset L[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$$

be the ideal generated by the x_i .

We write

$$L[[x_1, \dots, x_r]] = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} U^k$$

with U^k the homogeneous component of degree k .

Proposition 4. *One has*

$$\mathrm{Sq}^k(I^{n+k} \cap U^l) \subset I^{2n+l-k} \cap U^{k+l}.$$

We will need and prove this only in the following special case:

Proposition 5. *For $n \geq 0$ one has*

$$\mathrm{Sq}^0(I^{n+1} \cap U^1) \subset I^{2n+1} \cap U^1.$$

Proof. Since Sq^0 is homogeneous of degree 0, it suffices to show: Let $n \geq 0$, $a_{-n} \in L^{-n}$, let further $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$ be a multi-index with $\sum p_s = n + 1$, and let $\alpha = a_{-n} x^p$. Then

$$\mathrm{Sq}^0(\alpha) \in I^{2n+1}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sq}(\alpha) &= \mathrm{Sq}(a_{-n}) \prod_s \left(\frac{x_s F(x_s, t)}{t} \right)^{p_s} \\ &= \sum_{k \leq -n} t^{-k} \mathrm{Sq}^k(a_{-n}) t^{-(n+1)} \prod_s (x_s F(x_s, t))^{p_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used Theorem 2 to get the upper bound for the index k .

Multiplying both sides by t we get

$$(12) \quad t \mathrm{Sq}(\alpha) = \sum_{h \geq 0} t^h \mathrm{Sq}^{-n-h}(a_{-n}) \prod_s (x_s F(x_s, t))^{p_s}$$

with $h = -k - n$.

On the other hand we have

$$(13) \quad t \mathrm{Sq}(\alpha) = \mathrm{Sq}^1(\alpha) + t \mathrm{Sq}^0(\alpha) + t^2 \mathrm{Sq}^{-1}(\alpha) + \dots$$

Since

$$F(x, t) = x + t + xtP(x, t)$$

for some $P \in L[[t, x]]$, we have

$$\prod_s F(x_s, t)^{p_s} \in I^{n+1} + tI^n + t^2 L[[t, x_1, \dots, x_r]].$$

Therefore, by (12),

$$t \operatorname{Sq}(\alpha) \in I^{2n+2} + tI^{2n+1} + t^2 L[[t, x_1, \dots, x_r]]$$

and (13) yields

$$\operatorname{Sq}^1(\alpha) + t \operatorname{Sq}^0(\alpha) \in I^{2n+2} + tI^{2n+1}.$$

This proves the claim. \square

6. THE LOGARITHM

We apply this to the case $r = 1$ and write $z = x_1$. So let $I = zL[[z]]$ and

$$L[[z]] = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} U^k.$$

Then

$$U^1 = z\mathbf{F}_2 \oplus z^2 L^{-1} \oplus z^3 L^{-2} \oplus \dots.$$

Proposition 6.

$$(14) \quad \operatorname{Sq}^0(z) - z \in I^2 \cap U^1,$$

and for $m \geq 0$ one has

$$(15) \quad (\operatorname{Sq}^0)^m(I^2 \cap U^1) \subset I^{2m+1}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\operatorname{Sq}(z) = \frac{zF(z, t)}{t} = \frac{z^2}{t} + z + \sum_{i, j \geq 1} u_{i, j} z^{i+1} t^{j-1}$$

and therefore

$$\operatorname{Sq}^0(z) = z + z^2 \sum_{i \geq 0} u_{i+1, 1} z^i.$$

This proves (14). Claim (15) follows from Proposition 5. \square

Corollary 7. For any $\ell_0 \in U^1$, the series of elements

$$\ell_m = (\operatorname{Sq}^0)^m(\ell_0) \in U^1$$

is convergent in the I -adic topology on U^1 . The limit

$$\ell_\infty = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \ell_m$$

depends alone on the class of ℓ_0 in $U^1/(I^2 \cap U^1)$.

Since $U^1/(I^2 \cap U^1) = \mathbf{F}_2$, there is only one nontrivial such limit. It is obtained for $\ell_0(z) = z$ and given by

$$\ell = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\operatorname{Sq}^0)^m(z).$$

It is called the *canonical logarithm* of the universal formal group law. In the following we show that ℓ is indeed a logarithm.

Obviously we have

$$(16) \quad \operatorname{Sq}^0(\ell) = \ell.$$

The commutative diagram (6) yields the commutative diagram

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L[[z]] & \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^0} & R[[z]] \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow \\ L[[x, y]] & \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^0} & R[[x, y]]. \end{array}$$

with $\alpha(z) = F(x, y)$. Let $\beta_x: L[[x]] \rightarrow L[[x, y]]$, $\beta_y: L[[y]] \rightarrow L[[x, y]]$ be the inclusions. Further let

$$I = \langle x, y \rangle L[[x, y]].$$

Lemma 8. *Let $\ell \in L[[z]]$ with $\deg \ell = 1$ and let $\ell' = \text{Sq}^0(\ell)$.*

Let further $n \geq 0$ and suppose that

$$\alpha(\ell) = \beta_x(\ell) + \beta_y(\ell) \pmod{I^{n+1}}.$$

Then

$$\alpha(\ell') = \beta_x(\ell') + \beta_y(\ell') \pmod{I^{2n+1}}.$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 5 and the fact that α, β_x, β_y are compatible with Sq^0 . \square

Corollary 9. $\ell(F(\ell^{-1}(x), \ell^{-1}(y))) = x + y$.

This clear from the Lemma and (16).

Remark. For the moment we have completely omitted a further description of the logarithm. It is desirable to give the formal analogy of the description of the coefficients of the logarithm in [8].

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck, *Kobordismtheorie*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 178.
- [2] S. Buoncrisiano and D. Hacon, *An elementary geometric proof of two theorems of Thom*, *Topology* **20** (1981), no. 1, 97–99.
- [3] ———, *The geometry of Chern numbers*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **118** (1983), no. 1, 1–7.
- [4] ———, *A geometrical approach to characteristic numbers*, *Geometry today* (Rome, 1984) (E. Arbarello, C. Procesi, and E. Strickland, eds.), Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1985, pp. 21–38.
- [5] ———, *On the formal groups approach to cobordism*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **97** (1986), no. 3, 559–564.
- [6] K. Jänich, *On the classification of $O(n)$ -manifolds*, *Math. Ann.* **176** (1968), 53–76.
- [7] D. Quillen, *Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations*, *Advances in Math.* **7** (1971), 29–56 (1971).
- [8] K. Shibata, *A note on the formal group law of unoriented cobordism theory*, *Osaka J. Math.* **10** (1973), 33–42.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, EINSTEIN DRIVE, PRINCETON, NJ 08540, USA

E-mail address: rost@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

URL: <http://www.physik.uni-regensburg.de/~rom03516>