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#### Abstract

We obtain asymptotic estimates on the probabilities of events of special types which are usefull in quantum information theory, especially in the theory of identification for noisy channels.


## 1 Introduction

Let $T$ be the topological Hausdorff space with Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. Also let $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of distributions on $T$. We say that the large deviations principle (LDP) for $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is valid if there exists a functional $I: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, I \not \equiv 0, \infty$ such that for arbitrary $B \in \mathcal{B}$ the following relations are valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\inf _{\xi \in B^{o}} I(\xi) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B)}{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B)}{n} \leq-\inf _{\xi \in \bar{B}} I(\xi), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{B}\left(B^{o}\right)$ is the closure (open kernel) of the set $B$. In the case where $T$ is a metric space we say that the local LDP for $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is valid if there exists a functional $I: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, I \not \equiv 0, \infty$ such that for arbitrary $z \in T$

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(B_{z, \delta}\right)}{n}=-I(z)
$$

where $B_{z, \delta}=\{y \in T: d(y, z) \leq \delta\}$ is the ball of radius $\delta$ centered in $z$.
Let $H_{d}$ be the space of Hermitian $d \times d$ matrices and let $P_{d}$ be a distribution on it. Space $H_{d}$ is naturally isomorphic to the linear space $\mathbb{R}^{d^{2}}$ and the dimension of it equals $d^{2}$. The large deviations theory in $\mathbb{R}^{d^{2}}$ is properly developed. We recall it. Let $t \in R^{d^{2}}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(t)=\mathbb{E} e^{(t, z)}<\infty . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the value

$$
\Lambda^{*}(\xi)=\sup _{t \in R^{d^{2}}}((t, \xi)-\ln \Lambda(t))
$$

is the rate function for the sequence of probabilities $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where

$$
P_{n}(B)=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} \in B\right)
$$

$Z_{i} \in H_{d}, B \in \mathcal{B}$. If we consider in addition the exponential tightness of the sequence of probabilities $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ then the LDP for $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is still valid (with rate function $\Lambda^{*}$ ), when $d=\infty$.

Actually one of the interesting problems for Quantum Information Theory is the estimation of the probability of the events (see [2])

$$
\begin{align*}
B(C) & =\{Z \npreceq C\},  \tag{3}\\
B^{\prime}(C) & =\{Z \nless C\}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z=\frac{1}{n} Z^{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}$ and $\left(Z_{i}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of i.i.d. random Hermitian matrices of finite dimension $d \times d$ and $C$ is some Hermitian matrix. Note that $B_{n}(C)$ is open and when $d<\infty$ then $B_{n}^{\prime}(C)$ is closed. Then we also consider the case when $Z_{i}$ is random Hilbert-Schmidt operator on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Let's consider at first that the dimension $d$ of the ground space $\mathcal{H}$ is finite. Note that in this case sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is exponentially compact. ${ }^{1}$ It is easy to see that the sets $B_{n}(C), B_{n}^{\prime}(C)$ are Borel sets.

Next we prove that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
E Z_{i} \notin B^{\prime}(C), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\inf _{x \in \mathcal{C}^{d} \delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\prime}(C-I \delta, x) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B(C))}{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B(C))}{n} \leq-\inf _{x \in \mathcal{C}^{d}} \Lambda^{\prime}(C, x), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(B^{\prime}(C)\right)}{n}=-\inf _{x \in C^{d}} \Lambda^{\prime}(C, x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}(C, x)=\sup _{t \in R}(t(x, C x)-\ln \bar{\Lambda}(t, x)), \quad \bar{\Lambda}(x)=E e^{t\left(x, Z_{i} x\right)}
$$

and $I$ is the unit matrix. It is enough to optimize the expressions in the last relations only over unit vectors $x \in C^{d}$.
Note that if

$$
E Z_{i} \in B(C)
$$

then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B(C))}{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(B^{\prime}(C)\right)}{n}=0 .
$$

We will produce the proof of (6), (7) in such a way that it will be valid for $d=\infty$ after minor changes. First of all we prove that the limit in the LHS of (6) exists. Note that the

[^0]relations
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{n} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} \not \leq C n, \\
Z^{n} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} \nless C n
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

mean that for some $x \in R^{d}$

$$
\left(x, Z^{n} x\right)>(x, C x) n
$$

or

$$
\left(x, Z^{n} x\right) \geq(x, C x) n
$$

corresspondingly.
The closure of the set $S=\{Z \nless n C\}$ is contained in the set

$$
\bigcup_{x \in R^{d}}\left\{(x, Z x)>n\left((x, C x)-\epsilon_{x}\right)\right\}, t>0,
$$

where $\epsilon_{x}$ are choosen in such a way that

$$
\left(x, E Z_{i} x\right)<(x, C x)-\epsilon_{x} .
$$

Since the sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is exponentially compact, for every $L<\infty$ one can choose the compact set $K \subset R^{d^{2}}$ such that for large enough $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln \left(P_{n}\left(R^{d^{2}} \backslash K_{L}\right)\right)}{n} n<-L . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the set $S^{\prime}=\bar{S} \bigcap K_{L}$ is also compact and one can choose finitely many $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m} \in$ $R^{d}$ such that

$$
S^{\prime} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m}\left\{\left(x_{j}, Z x_{j}\right)>\left(x_{j}, C x_{j}\right)-\epsilon_{x_{j}}\right\} .
$$

For every set $\left\{\left(x_{j}, Z x_{j}\right)>\left(x_{j}, C x_{j}\right)\right\}$ the LDP for $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is valid with rate function $\Lambda^{\prime}\left(C, x_{j}\right)$. Indeed in this case we deal with the one dimensional random variable ( $x_{j}, Z x_{j}$ ) and by the previous condition $E e^{\left(\left(x_{j}, Z_{i}, x_{j}\right) t\right)}<\infty$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Lambda^{\prime}\left(C-I \delta, x_{j}\right) & \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(t\left(x_{j}, Z^{n} x_{j}\right)>n t\left(x_{j}, C x_{j}\right)\right)}{n} \leq \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(t\left(x_{j}, Z^{n} x_{j}\right)>n t\left(x_{j}, C x_{j}\right)\right)}{n} \leq \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(t\left(x_{j}, Z^{n} x_{j}\right)>n t\left(\left(x_{j}, C x_{j}\right)-\epsilon_{x_{j}}\right)\right)}{n} \leq \\
\operatorname{tag} 9 & \leq-\Lambda^{\prime}\left(C-I \epsilon, x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Lambda^{\prime}\left(C, x_{j}\right) & \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(t\left(x_{j}, Z^{n} x_{j}\right) \geq n t\left(x_{j}, C x_{j}\right)\right)}{n} \leq \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(t\left(x_{j}, Z^{n} x_{j}\right) \geq n t\left(x_{j}, C x_{j}\right)\right)}{n} \leq \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(t\left(x_{j}, Z^{n} x_{j}\right) \geq n t\left(\left(x_{j}, C x_{j}\right)-\epsilon_{x_{j}}\right)\right)}{n} \leq  \tag{10}\\
& \leq-\Lambda^{\prime}\left(C-I \epsilon_{x_{j}}, x_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The RHS equalities in the relations (9) (10) are a consequence of the Chebyshev inequality. The LHS equalities in the relations (9) (10) are a consequence Cramer's theorem for semiinfinite intervals (see [1]: for an arbitrary $a \in R$ and a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $\left(\chi_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{i} \in[a, \infty)\right)}{n}=-\inf _{x \geq a} \Gamma(x),
$$

where for every $i$

$$
\Gamma(x)=\sup _{t \in R}\left(x t-\ln E e^{t \chi_{i}}\right)
$$

Now we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
L>\inf _{x \in \mathcal{C}^{d}} \Lambda^{\prime}(C, x) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\inf _{x \in \mathcal{C}^{d}} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\prime}(C-I \delta, x) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B(C))}{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B(C))}{n} \leq \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left(P_{n}\left(B(C) \bigcap K_{L}\right)+P_{n}\left(R^{d} \backslash K_{L}\right)\right)}{n} \leq  \tag{12}\\
& \left.\leq-\inf _{x_{j}}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\left(C-I \epsilon_{x_{j}}\right), x_{j}\right)\right)+o(1),  \tag{13}\\
& -\inf _{x \in \mathcal{C}} \Lambda^{\prime}(C, x) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(B^{\prime}(C)\right)}{n} \leq \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left(P_{n}\left(B^{\prime}(C) \bigcap K_{L}\right)+P_{n}\left(R^{d} \backslash K_{L}\right)\right)}{n} \leq  \tag{14}\\
& \leq-\inf _{x_{j}}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\left(C-I \epsilon_{x_{j}}, x_{j}\right)\right)+o(1), \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $o(t)$ is the rest term which follows from the relation (11) and the fact that we take $\inf _{x_{j}}$. Because we can change the range over which the infimum at the RHS of the last chain of relations is taken to $x \in R^{d}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}\left(B^{\prime}(C)\right)}{n}=-\inf _{x \in \mathcal{C}^{d}} \Lambda^{\prime}(C, x) \\
& \inf _{x \in \mathcal{C}^{d}} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\prime}(C-I \delta, x) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B(C))}{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B(C))}{n} \leq-\inf _{x_{j}}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\left(C-I \epsilon_{x_{j}}, x_{j}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for every $x_{j},\left(x_{j}, E Z_{i} x_{j}\right)<\left(x_{j}, C x_{j}\right)-\epsilon_{x_{j}}$, the function $\Lambda^{\prime}\left(C-I \epsilon_{x_{j}}, x_{j}\right)$ monotonically increase as $\epsilon_{x_{j}}$ decreases we can omit $\epsilon$ in the RHS of the relations (13), (15). Now we
consider the case when $d=\infty$ and we consider the matrices, which corresspond to selfadjoint Hilbert-Schmidt operators on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We suppose that $E Z_{i}$ is also H-S matrix. In this case all previous considerations are still valid under the assumption that $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is exponentially tight. Hence we should find out in which cases this assumption is valid. Recall that for the self-adjoint Hilbert-Schmidt matrix $Z=\left(z_{i j}\right)$ the following relations are valid

$$
\|Z\| \leq\|Z\|_{2} \leq\|Z\|_{1},
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is uniform norm ,

$$
\|Z\|_{2}^{2} \triangleq \operatorname{tr}\left(Z^{2}\right)=\sum_{i, j}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}
$$

is the square of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm and

$$
\|Z\|_{1}=\operatorname{tr}|Z|
$$

is the trace-norm (if it exists). Hence to every Hilbert-Schmidt (H-S) matrix corressponds in the natural order the sequence of reals which is $\ell_{2}$-sequence and vice versa. If one considers the set of all $\ell_{2}$-sequences $\mathcal{A}=\{a\}$ such that

$$
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq b_{j}
$$

for some given $\ell_{2}-$ sequence $b$ of positive reals, then the set $\mathcal{A}$ is compact (in $\ell_{2}-$ norm). Hence the exponential tightness of the sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a consequence of the following condition. Let $b=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}, \ldots,\right\}$ be some $\ell_{2}-$ sequence of positive reals and let $Z=\left(z_{i}\right)$ be the $R^{\infty}$ - representation of self-adjoint H-S matrix $Z$. If for some natural $n$ the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(e^{-n \Omega_{j}^{+}\left(b_{j}\right)}+e^{-n \Omega_{j}^{-}\left(b_{j}\right)}\right), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i}^{ \pm}(b)=\sup _{t \in R}\left(\left(t, E z_{j} \pm b_{j}\right)-\ln E e^{\left(t, z_{j}\right)}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges, then the sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is exponentially compact. Note that the RHS of inequality (16) is nothing else but the additive upper bound for the probability that $\mid E z_{i}-$ $z_{i} \mid>b_{i}$ for some $i$. It is easy to see that if (17) is valid, then for any given $L>0$ one can choose $i$ such that

$$
\sum_{j>i}\left(e^{-\Omega_{j}^{+}\left(b_{j}\right) n}+e^{-\Omega_{j}^{-}\left(b_{j}\right) n}\right)<\frac{1}{i+1} e^{-L n} .
$$

Then we use the relation, which follows from the finiteness of $\Lambda(t)$,

$$
\lim _{|b| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Omega_{m}^{ \pm}(b)}{|b|}=\infty
$$

to choose the values $\bar{b}_{1}, \bar{b}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{b}_{i}>0$ such that

$$
e^{-\Omega_{m}^{ \pm}\left(\bar{b}_{m}\right) n}<\frac{1}{i+1} e^{-L n}, m=1,2, \ldots, i .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}<e^{-L n} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\bar{b}_{1}, \bar{b}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{b}_{i}, b_{i+1}, \ldots$ is $\ell_{2}$-sequence. From (18) it follows that $P_{n}$ is exponentially compact.
Note that even if one considers the diagonal matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and distribution $P$ on them (all elements on the diagonals of matrices from $\mathcal{A}$ are $\ell_{2}$-sequences) and we have no information about the joint distributions of different diagonal elements the only possible upper bound for the probability $P\left(\left|Z^{n}-E Z^{n}\right| \not Z C n\right)$, where $C$ is some self-adjoint bounded operator, is the additive bound (16), which can be obtained by using the Chebyshev inequality for the estimation of deviations $P\left(\left|z_{j}-E z_{j}\right|>c_{j} n\right)$.

The last thing we should like to note is a convenient upper asymptotic bound on the probability of the event $Z^{n} \nsubseteq C n$, which follows from our considerations. As in estimations (13) we use the Chebyshev estimation ( $x$ is unit vector)

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}\left(B_{n}(C)\right) & \left.\leq e^{-n\left(\inf _{x \in R^{d}}\left(t(x, C x)-\ln E e^{t\left(x, Z_{j}, x\right)}+\epsilon\right)\right.}\right)= \\
& =e^{\epsilon n} \sup _{x \in R^{d}}\left(E e^{t\left(x, Z_{j}, x\right)} e^{-t(x, C x)}\right)^{n} \leq \\
& \leq e^{\epsilon n} \sup _{x \in R^{d}}\left(\left(x, E e^{t\left(Z_{j}-C\right)}, x\right)\right)^{n} \leq e^{\epsilon n}\left\|E e^{t Z_{j}-t C}\right\|^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the second inequality follows from the inequality

$$
e^{(x, Z x)} \leq\left(x, e^{Z} x\right)
$$

which in turn is a consequence of the convexity of $e^{y}$. Since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln P_{n}(B(C))}{n} \leq \inf _{t \geq 0} \ln \left\|E^{t Z_{j}-t C}\right\| .
$$

This formula under the assumption of exponential compactness is also valid for $d=\infty$.
Note also that the unitary transformation $U=\left\{u_{p, q}\right\}$ preserve the compactness and if for some H-S matrices $A=\left\{a_{i, j}\right\}, B=\left\{b_{i, j}\right\},\left|a_{i, j}\right| \leq\left|b_{i, j}\right|$, then for the matrices $A^{\prime}=U A U^{*}=$ $\left\{a_{i, j}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $B^{\prime}=U B U^{*}=\left\{b_{i, j}^{\prime}\right\}$ we have

$$
\left|a_{i, j}^{\prime}\right|=\left|\sum_{p, q} u_{i, p} a_{p, q} u_{q, j}^{*}\right| \leq \sum_{p, q}\left|u_{i, p}\right|\left|a_{p, q}\right|\left|u_{q, j}^{*}\right| \leq \sum_{p, q}\left|u_{i, p}\right|\left|b_{p, q}\right|\left|u_{q, j}^{*}\right|
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i, j}\left|a_{i, j}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{p, q}\left|b_{p, q}\right|^{2}<\infty
$$

Hence, we can view $\left\{\left|a_{i, j}\right|\right\}$ as a sequence (in any order). It has $\ell_{2}$-majorant $\left\{\left|b_{i, j}\right|\right\}$ in some basis the conjugate sequence $a_{i, j}^{\prime}$ has the property that $\left|a_{i, j}^{\prime}\right|$ has the majorant $\left|U B U_{i j}^{*}\right|$. Hence one could check the validness of the relations (16), (17) in some most convenient basis for the corresponding estimations.

At the end we should like to state an open problem. Let $\left\{Z_{j}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. Hermitian $d \times d$ matrices. Then the following chain of relations is valid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(E\left(e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}}\right)\right)=E\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}}\right)\right) \leq \\
& \left.\leq E\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} Z_{i}}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} Z_{i}}\right)\right)\right)=E\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} Z_{i}}\right)\right) E\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} Z_{i}}\right)\right)= \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(E\left(e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} Z_{i}}\right)\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(E\left(e^{\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} Z_{i}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the first inequality is a consequence of the Golden - Thompson inequality (see [4], [3])

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{A+B}\right) \leq \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{A} e^{B}\right)
$$

Hence the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$
a_{n}=\ln \operatorname{Tr}\left(E\left(e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}}\right)\right)
$$

is subadditive

$$
a_{n} \leq a_{m}+a_{n-m}
$$

and there exists the limit

$$
a \triangleq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n} .
$$

The problem now is to find an explicit expression for this limit in terms of a 'single letter characterization' i.e. in terms of the marginal distribution of $Z_{j}$.

If $Z_{j}$ are commutative, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left|\left|\left(E e^{Z_{i}}\right)^{n}\right|\right| \leq a_{n} \leq \ln \left(d\left\|E^{Z_{i}}\right\|^{n}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $E=E e^{Z_{i}}$ is A self-adjoint operator

$$
\|E\|^{2}=\left\|E^{2}\right\|
$$

and hence from (19) and the convergence of $\left(a_{n} / n\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{2^{k}}}{2^{k}}=\ln \left\|E e^{Z_{i}}\right\| .
$$

Also in any case

$$
a_{n} \leq \ln d\left\|E e^{Z_{i}}\right\|^{n}
$$

and hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n} \leq \ln \left\|E e^{Z_{i}}\right\|
$$

From the inequalities

$$
\left\|E e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Tr} E e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}} \leq d\left\|E e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}}\right\|
$$

it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left\|E e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}}\right\|}{n}=a .
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This means that for every nonnegative real number $\delta$ there exists a compact set $K_{\delta}$ with $P_{n}\left(K_{\delta}\right)<e^{-\delta n}$ for all large $n$.

