

Def.: (Kimura, O'Sullivan) Let \mathcal{C} be a rigid pseudo-abelian \mathbb{Q} -linear \otimes -category.

An object M in \mathcal{C} is called finite dimensional, if there is a decomposition

$$M = M_+ \oplus M_-$$

and integers $m, n \geq 0$ such that

$$\Lambda^{m+1} M_+ = 0 \quad \text{Sym}^{n+1} M_- = 0.$$

In this case

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} M_+ \\ M_- \end{array} \right\}$ is called $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{evenly} \\ \text{oddly} \end{array} \right\}$ finite dimensional

and $\dim M_+ = \min \{ m \mid \Lambda^{m+1} M_+ = 0 \}$

$\dim M_- = \min \{ n \mid \text{Sym}^{n+1} M_- = 0 \}$

are called the dimensions of M_+ and M_- , respectively. Call $\dim M = \dim M_+ + \dim M_-$ the dimension of M .

This makes sense, because M_+ and M_- are well-defined up to (non-canonical) isomorphism, by the following:

Def. (Voevodsky) A morphism $\alpha: M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{E} is called smash nilpotent, if $\alpha = \alpha^{\otimes n}: M^{\otimes n} \rightarrow N^{\otimes n}$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Lemma (V.) Every smash nilpotent endomorphism $\alpha: M \rightarrow M$ is nilpotent.

(more precisely: $\alpha^{\otimes n} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha^n = 0$)

Lemma (Kimura) Every morphism $f: M_+ \rightarrow M_-$ or $g: M_- \rightarrow M_+$ is smash nilpotent.

(precisely: $f^{\otimes(n+1)} = 0$ for $n = \dim M_+ \cdot \dim M_-$)

* or \otimes -nilpotent

For an algebraic cycle $z \in \text{CH}^j(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, regarded as a morphism $z: 1 \rightarrow h(X)(j)$ one gets the previous notion, because $z^{\otimes n} = z \times \dots \times z$.

- 22 -

In the following, always \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.

Conjecture (Kimura, O'Sullivan) Every motive

$M \in \text{CHM}(k) = M_{\text{rat}}(k)$ is finite-dimensional.

Theorem ^(Kimura) If C is a smooth, projective curve, then $h(C) \in \text{CHM}(k)$ is finite dimensional.

Theorem Let \mathcal{C} be a pseudo-abelian tensor category. For M_1, M_2 in \mathcal{C} we have:

(a) M_1, M_2 finite dimensional $\Rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$ finite-dimensional with $\dim(M_1 \oplus M_2) \leq \dim M_1 + \dim M_2$.

(b) M_1, M_2 finite dimensional $\Rightarrow M_1 \otimes M_2$ finite-dimensional with $\dim(M_1 \otimes M_2) \leq \dim M_1 \cdot \dim M_2$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{even} \oplus \text{even} = \text{even} \\ \text{odd} \oplus \text{odd} = \text{odd} \\ \text{even} \otimes \text{odd} = \text{odd} \\ \text{even} \otimes \text{even} = \text{even} = \text{odd} \otimes \text{odd} \end{array} \right.$$

Important application of finite-dimensionality;

Theorem (Kimura) Let $M \in \text{CHM}(k)$ be finite dimensional, and let $\alpha: M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism.

(a) There is a polynomial $f(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ with $f(\alpha) = 0$.

(b) If $\alpha \sim_{\text{num}} 0$, then α is nilpotent.

refinement:

Theorem. Let M and $\alpha: M \rightarrow M$ be as above. Let H be any Weil cohomology theory. Assume M is either evenly or oddly finite dimensional.

(a) $\dim M = b := \dim H(M)$.

(b) Let $f(T)$ be the characteristic polynomial of α on $\bigoplus H^i(M)$. Then $f \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$, and $f(\alpha) \approx 0$ in $\text{End}_{\text{CHM}(k)}(M)$.

(c) If $\alpha \sim_{\text{num}} 0$, then $\alpha^b = 0$.

Proof: (sketch) (b) \Rightarrow (c); (c) \Rightarrow (a):

Let M_{hom} be the motive associated to M by passing to homological equivalence, i.e., the image under the functor $M_{\text{rat}}(k) \rightarrow M_{\text{hom}}(k)$.

(c) ^{says} ~~implies~~ that $\ker(\text{End}(M) \rightarrow \text{End}(M_{\text{hom}}))$ is ~~nilpotent~~ a nil ideal. This implies

$$M_{\text{hom}} = 0 \Rightarrow M = 0$$

(M is not a "phantome motive")*

The same holds for $M^{\otimes n}$, all n , and all factors of this. Hence

$$\text{Sym}^n M_{\text{hom}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Sym}^n M = 0,$$

similarly for Alt^n . But obviously,

$$\dim M_{\text{hom}} = b,$$

hence $\dim M = b$.

* Of course, the same holds for "num" instead of "hom"

(b) : Kimura : \exists correspondence Γ_α
 such that, for every $\beta : M \rightarrow M$

$$\Gamma_\alpha \left(\underbrace{\sum_{\beta \in S_{n+1}} \text{sig}(\beta) \beta \cdot \beta^{\otimes (n+1)}} \right) = \underbrace{\left((-1)^n n! (\beta\alpha)^n + \dots \right)}_{(*)} \beta$$

\emptyset if $\wedge^{n+1} M = 0$

$f(T) =$ polynomial in $\beta\alpha$,
 coeff. in \mathbb{Q} , deg n

(i) $(*)$ has degree $\leq n-1$

(ii) $(*) = 0$ if $\beta\alpha \sim_{\text{num}} 0$

Now take $\beta = \text{id}!$ $\Rightarrow g(\alpha) = 0$

(Kimura : with roles of α and β interchanged)

(ii) $\Rightarrow \alpha^n = 0$ if $\alpha \sim_{\text{num}} 0$

\Rightarrow as above $\dim M = b \Rightarrow$ can take $n = b$

On $H^1(M)$ one has $g(\alpha) = 0$ and $f(\alpha) = 0$
 ($f =$ char. polyn. of α on $H^1(M)$)

Now both polynomials are of degree b ,
so we can conclude $f = g$ if all
eigenvalues of α on $H^i(M)$ are different.
One has to check a universal formula for
endomorphisms of vector spaces \Rightarrow done by
continuity argument (ugly...)

For a motive M , write $\mathcal{J}(M) \subseteq \text{End}(M)$
for the ideal of endomorphisms α with $\alpha \sim_{\text{num}} 0$.
For X smooth, projective write $\mathcal{J}(X) = \mathcal{J}(h(X))$.

Corollary. If M is 1-dimensional (= finite
dimensional and of dimension 1), then $\mathcal{J}(M) = 0$.

Corollary. Let M be a finite dimensional motive.

(a) $\mathcal{J}(M)$ is a nilpotent ideal.

(b) $M_{\text{num}} = 0 \Rightarrow M = \mathcal{O}$.

Proof (a) : If M is evenly or oddly finite-dimensional, we have seen there exists an $n \geq 1$ such that $a^n = 0$ for all $a \in J(M)$. Since $\text{End}(M)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra, $J(M)$ is nilpotent, by a result of Nagata Higman.* (a) \Rightarrow (b) as before.

For a given Weil cohomology theory H , let π_+^X and π_-^X be the projectors onto the even and odd part of the cohomology, respectively, for $X \in P(\mathbb{R})$.

Consider the following "sign conjecture"

$S(X)$: π_+^X and π_-^X are algebraic.

* easy : passes to sums

(as elements modulo \sim .)

Moreover consider the conjectures

$N(X)$: $\mathcal{J}(X) \subseteq \text{End}(H(X))$ is a nilpotent ideal

$N'(X)$: $\mathcal{J}(X)$ is a nil ideal

Then we can show the following 'converse' of Kimura's nilpotence theorem:

Proposition For a smooth projective variety X the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $h(X)$ is finite-dimensional.

(b) $S(X)$ holds, and $N(X^n)$ holds for all $n \geq 1$.

(c) $S(X)$ holds, and $N'(X^n)$ holds for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof: If $h(X) = M_+ \oplus M_-$ with

M_+ evenly and M_- oddly fin. dim.,

then $H(M_{\pm}) = H^{\text{even/odd}}(X)$ and hence the decomposition corresponds to π_{+} and π_{-} modulo \sim_H . Therefore (a) implies $S(X)$, and we have seen that (a) implies $N(X)$. Since $h(X^n) = h(X)^{\otimes n}$ is fin. dim. as well, we also get $N(X^n)$

(b) \Rightarrow (c) is trivial

(c) \Rightarrow (a): $S(X) \Rightarrow \pi_{\pm}$ are algebraic $N'(X) \Rightarrow$ they lift to projectors modulo rational equiv., again denoted $\hat{\pi}_{\pm}$.

Let $M_{\pm} = (X, \hat{\pi}_{\pm}, 0)$ modulo \sim_{rat} .

For $b_{\pm} = H(M_{\pm}) = H^{\text{even/odd}}(X)$ we have

$$\Lambda^{b_{+}+1} M_{+} = 0 = \text{Sym}^{b_{-}+1} M_{-} \text{ modulo } \sim_{\text{hom}},$$

hence also mod \sim_{rat} , because of $N'(X^n)$

for $n = b_{+} + 1$ and $n = b_{-} + 1$.

Thm. (Guletskii) If $h(X)$ is Schur finite, ~~and~~ then $N'(X)$ holds.

Since Schur finiteness also extends to tensor products, we get:

Corollary The conditions of the previous proposition are further equivalent to:

(d) $S(X)$ holds and $h(X)$ is Schur finite.

(Note: M finite-dimensional $\Rightarrow M$ Schur finite)

Schur finiteness is useful, because it behaves well for exact triangles in triangulated tensor categories (Mazza-Guletskii)

Note also :

Voevodsky's nilpotence conjecture $\nu_{\wedge} = \nu_{\text{num}}$ (for X)

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nu_{\text{hom}} = \nu_{\text{num}} \\ N'(X) \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow C(X) \Rightarrow S(X)$$

\Rightarrow finite dimensionality of $h(X)$

Thm. (O'Sullivan) Voevodsky's nilpotence conj.

\Leftrightarrow i) finite dimensionality conjecture

ii) Every \otimes -functor $CHM(k) \rightarrow s\text{Vec}_E$
($\text{char } E = 0$) factors through ν_{num} ~~\mathbb{Z}~~

(- Murre)

§ 7 The Bloch-Beilinson filtration

always $CH(\cdot)$ with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients

Conjecture (Bloch-Beilinson) There exists a descending filtration $CH^j(X) = F^0 \supseteq F^1 \supseteq F^2 \supseteq \dots$ on the Chow groups of smooth proj. varieties X/k such that

(a) $F^1 = \{ z \mid z \sim_{\text{hom}} 0 \}$

(b) $F^r \cdot F^s \subseteq F^{r+s}$ under intersection

(c) F^r is respected by pull-backs f^* and push-forwards f_* for $f: X \rightarrow Y$

(d) $Gr_F^\nu CH^j(X) = F^\nu CH^j(X) / F^{\nu+1} CH^j(X)$
only depends on $h^{2j-\nu}(X) \text{ mod } \sim_{\text{hom}}$.

(e) $F^\nu CH^j(X) = 0$ for $\nu \gg 0$

(a) - (c) \Rightarrow alg. correspondences act on F^ν and on Gr_F^ν via Gr_F^0 , hence modulo \sim_{hom} .

(d): assume algebraicity of π_i mod \sim_{hom} .

Suppose there exists an abelian category $MM(k)$ of mixed motives containing $M(k) = M_{\text{hom}}(k)$ as a full category and such that $DM_{\text{gm}}(k) = D^b(MM(k))$ *

Then one would get such a filtration, because then

$$H_M^i(X, \mathbb{Q}(j)) = \text{Hom}_{D^b(MM(k))} (1, H(X)(j)[i])$$

$$= \text{Hom}_{DM_{\text{gm}}(k)} (M(X), \mathbb{Z}(j)[i])$$

in Voevodsky's homological notation

and the canonical filtration would induce

$$F \text{ on } H_M^{2j}(X, \mathbb{Q}(j)) = CH^j(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ with}$$

* It would suffice to have a suitable t-structure on $DM_{\text{gm}}(k)$ with heart $MM(k)$

$$\mathrm{Gr}_F^v \mathrm{CH}^j(X) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}(Q)}^v(1, h^{2j-v}(X)(j))$$

$F^r \circ F^s \subseteq F^{r+s}$ under composition,
so the axioms (a) and (e) would
imply $N(X)$ ($F^1 = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \mathrm{CH}^j(X)_{\mathrm{hom}}$
is a nilpotent ideal), and together
with $C(X)$ we would get finite-
dimensionality of motives.

One instance:

Thm. Let F be a finite field, let
 C be an elliptic or rational curve
over F , and let X be a product
of elliptic curves over F . Let
 $h = F(C)$ (global function field).

Then the Tate conjecture holds for $X_k = X \times_F k$, and the Bloch-Beilinson filtration exists on the products X_k^n .

Remark: B-B filtr. is unique when it exists.

Tools: • Tate conj. : M. Spiess

• use finite dimensionality for $X \times C$ and X over F + ideas of Beilinson and Kahn

• use regulator map

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^i(X_k, \mathbb{Q}(j)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \underbrace{\tilde{H}_{\text{et}}^i(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(j))}_{\text{modified etale cohomology}}$$

show:

iso for all $i \leq 2j$

$\Rightarrow F^0 \supseteq F^1 \supseteq F^2 = 0$ by Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence