

Generalized Bialgebras and Triples of Operads

Jean-Louis Loday

CNRS, Strasbourg

France, EU

February 2005

Classical Bialgebras

\mathbb{K} is a the ground field

Classical bialgebra: $(\mathcal{H}, *, \Delta)$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{K}1 \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}$

$*$: $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is associative and unital

Δ : $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is coassociative and counital

Hopf relation: $\Delta(x * y) = \Delta(x) * \Delta(y)$

Primitive elements:

$\text{Prim } \mathcal{H} := \{x \in \overline{\mathcal{H}} \mid \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x\}$

Observation: $\text{Prim } \mathcal{H}$ is a Lie algebra for

$[x, y] := x * y - y * x$

Connected bialgebra: $F_0 := \mathbb{K}1,$

$F_r := \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid \Delta(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x \in F_{r-1} \otimes F_{r-1}\}$

Condition to be connected: $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_r F_r$

Hopf-Borel Theorem

THM (Hopf-Borel, 1953) $\mathbb{K} = \text{char } 0 \text{ field}$,
 $\mathcal{H} = \text{commutative cocommutative bialgebra}$.

TFAE:

(a) \mathcal{H} is connected

(b) $\mathcal{H} \cong S(V)$, where $V = \text{Prim } \mathcal{H}$

One of the numerous different proofs involves the Eulerian idempotent

Many applications in algebraic topology and homological algebra (graded version):

$H_*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \Lambda(\pi_*(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$, where $G = \text{Lie group}$

$H_*(GL(A), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \Lambda(K_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$, (Quillen)

$H_*(\mathfrak{gl}(A), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \Lambda(HC_{*-1}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$, (Loday-Quillen-Tsygan)

PBW and CMM Theorem

THM (PBW + CMM) $\mathbb{K} = \text{char } 0 \text{ field}$,
 $\mathcal{H} = \text{cocommutative bialgebra}$. TFAE:

(a) \mathcal{H} is connected,

(b) $\mathcal{H} \cong U(\text{Prim } \mathcal{H})$,

(c) \mathcal{H} is cofree among the connected cocommutative coalgebras.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) Cartier-Milnor-Moore (CMM) thm

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) thm

(c) \Rightarrow (a) is a tautology

(a) \Rightarrow (c) was proved earlier by Leray (1945)

COR $T(V) \cong S(\text{Lie}(V))$, $\text{Prim } T(V) \cong \text{Lie}(V)$

COR *Structure theorem for cofree cocommutative bialgebras*

QUESTION: Can we remove the hypothesis “cocommutative” ? Several answers. One of them: Etingof-Kazhdan. Another one soon

Unital Infinitesimal Bialgebra

$(\mathcal{H}, \cdot, \Delta) = \text{unital infinitesimal bialgebra}$ if

$*$: $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is associative and unital

Δ : $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is coassociative and counital

unital infinitesimal (u. i.) relation:

$$\Delta(x \cdot y) = \Delta(x) \cdot (1 \otimes y) + (x \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta(y) - x \otimes y$$

Example: $T(V) = \mathbb{K}1 \oplus V \oplus V^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots \oplus V^{\otimes n} \oplus \dots$

$(T(V), \cdot = \text{concatenation}, \Delta = \text{deconcatenation})$

$$v_1 \dots v_p \cdot v_{p+1} \dots v_n := v_1 \dots v_n$$

$$\Delta(v_1 \dots v_n) := \sum_{p \geq 0} v_1 \dots v_p \otimes v_{p+1} \dots v_n$$

THM (JLL-Ronco, 2003) $\mathcal{H} = \text{u. i. bialgebra}$

TFAE:

(a) \mathcal{H} is connected

(b) $\mathcal{H} \cong T(V)$, where $V = \text{Prim } \mathcal{H}$

Cofree Bialgebra and B_∞ -algebra

Let \mathcal{H} be a classical bialgebra, suppose it is cofree:

$\mathcal{H} \cong T^c(V)$ i.e. $\Delta = \text{deconcatenation}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(V) \otimes T(V) & \xrightarrow{*} & T^c(V) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ V^{\otimes p} \otimes V^{\otimes q} & \xrightarrow{M_{pq}} & V \end{array}$$

Associativity of $*$ \Leftrightarrow The M_{pq} 's satisfy \mathcal{R}_{ijk}

Example: \mathcal{R}_{111} :

$$\begin{aligned} M_{12}(u, vw + wv) + M_{11}(u, M_{11}(v, w)) = \\ M_{21}(uv + vu, w) + M_{11}(M_{11}(u, v), w) \end{aligned}$$

DEF (R, M_{pq}) is a B_∞ -algebra if the M_{pq} 's satisfy the relations \mathcal{R}_{ijk}

Claim:

(R, M_{pq}) B_∞ -alg. $\Leftrightarrow (T^c(R), *, \Delta)$ cofree bialg.

Cofree Hopf algebra

DEF 2-associative algebra $(A, *, \cdot)$, operations $*$ and \cdot associative with same unit 1.

Example: a cofree bialgebra $\mathcal{H} = (T^c(V), *, \Delta)$ with concatenation as \cdot .

PROP $\exists F : 2as\text{-alg} \rightarrow B_\infty\text{-alg}$ such that $\text{Prim } \mathcal{H} \rightarrow F(\mathcal{H})$ is a morphism of B_∞ -algebras

Example: $M_{11}(u, v) = u * v + u \cdot v + v \cdot u$

DEF 2-associative bialgebra is $(\mathcal{H}, *, \cdot, \Delta)$ s.t.

- $(\mathcal{H}, *, \Delta) =$ classical bialgebra
- $(\mathcal{H}, \cdot, \Delta) =$ unital infinitesimal bialgebra

THM (JLL-Ronco) $\mathcal{H} = 2as\text{-bialgebra}$. TFAE:

(a) \mathcal{H} is connected,

(b) $\mathcal{H} \cong U2(\text{Prim } \mathcal{H})$,

(c) \mathcal{H} is cofree among connected coalgebras.

$U2 : B_\infty\text{-alg} \rightarrow 2as\text{-alg}$ left adjoint to F

COR Structure theorem for cofree Hopf alg.

COR Explicitation of free B_∞ -algebra (trees)

Generalized bialgebra, triple of operads

Data $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{P})$ abbreviated $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$

- \mathcal{C} = operad handling coalgebra structure
- \mathcal{A} = operad handling algebra structure
- \mathcal{Q} “spin relations” intertwining operations and cooperations

So $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{A})$ determines a notion of bialgebra (prop)

- \mathcal{P} = operad handling algebra structure of the primitive part
- $F : \mathcal{A}\text{-alg} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{-alg}$ forgetful functor s.t.

$\text{Prim } \mathcal{H} \rightarrow F(\mathcal{H})$ is a morphism of \mathcal{P} -algebras

DEF $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ is called a *triple of operads* (triple) (triple)

Examples:

$(Com, Com, Vect)$	$\mathcal{Q} =$ Hopf relation
(Com, As, Lie)	$\mathcal{Q} =$ Hopf relation
$(As, As, Vect)$	$\mathcal{Q} =$ u.i. relation
$(As, 2as, B_\infty)$	$\mathcal{Q} =$ Hopf and u.i. relation

Good triples of operads

Let $U : \mathcal{P}\text{-alg} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-alg}$ be left adjoint to F

DEF $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$ is called a *good* triple of operads if, for any $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ bialgebra \mathcal{H} , TFAE:

- (a) \mathcal{H} is connected,
- (b) $\mathcal{H} \cong U(\text{Prim } \mathcal{H})$,
- (c) \mathcal{H} is cofree among connected \mathcal{C} -coalgebras.

COR $\mathcal{A}(V) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}(V))$ and $\text{Prim } \mathcal{A}(V) \cong \mathcal{P}(V)$

All preceding examples are good triples.

Triples of operads: $\mathcal{C} \quad \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$

	coalgebra	algebra	primitive
Hopf-Borel CMM+PBW	<i>Com</i> <i>Com</i>	<i>Com</i> <i>As</i>	<i>Vect</i> <i>Lie</i>
Ronco Ronco JLL-Ronco	<i>As</i> <i>As</i> <i>As</i>	<i>Zinb</i> <i>Dend</i> <i>Dipt</i>	<i>Vect</i> <i>brace</i> B_∞
JLL-Ronco JLL-Ronco	<i>As</i> <i>As</i>	<i>As</i> $2as$	<i>Vect</i> B_∞
JLL Holtkamp-JLL Holtkamp	<i>Mag</i> <i>As</i> <i>Com</i>	<i>Mag</i> <i>Mag</i> <i>Mag</i>	<i>Vect</i> <i>Mag_{Fine}</i> ??
Guin-Oudom	<i>Com</i>	\mathcal{X}	<i>preLie</i>
Markl-Remm	??	<i>preLie</i>	<i>Lie</i>
Goncharov	<i>Com</i>	$As \times As$??
JLL	$2as$	$2as$	<i>Vect</i>
Livernet	<i>NAperm</i>	<i>preLie</i>	<i>Vect</i>
Foissy	<i>Dend</i>	<i>Dend</i>	<i>Vect</i>

\mathcal{P}	operations	relations
<i>As</i>	xy	$(xy)z = x(yz)$
<i>Com</i>	$xy = yx$	$(xy)z = x(yz)$
<i>Lie</i>	$[xy] = -[yx]$	Jacobi identity
<i>Mag</i>	xy	no relation
<i>preLie</i>	xy	$(xy)z - x(yz) = (xz)y - x(zy)$
<i>Zinb</i>	xy	$(xy)z = x(yz) + x(z y)$
<i>2-as</i>	$x \cdot y, x * y$	both associative
<i>Dend</i>	$x \prec y, x \succ y$ $x * y =$ $x \prec y + x \succ y$	$(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y * z)$ $(x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z)$ $(x * y) \succ z = x \succ (y \succ z)$
<i>Dipt</i>	$x * y, x \succ y$	$(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$ $(x * y) \succ z = x \succ (y \succ z)$
<i>B_∞</i>	M_{pq}	(\mathcal{R}_{ijk})
<i>brace</i>	M_{1q}	(\mathcal{R}_{1jk})
<i>NAperm</i>	xy	$(xy)z = (xz)y$

Thank you for your attention !

