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#### Abstract

Let $F$ be a field of characteristic 2 and let $K / F$ be a purely inseparable extension of exponent 1 . We show that the extension is excellent for quadratic forms. Using the excellence we recover and extend results by Aravire and Laghribi who computed generators for the kernel $W_{q}(K / F)$ of the natural restriction map $W_{q}(F) \rightarrow W_{q}(K)$ between the Witt groups of quadratic forms of $F$ and $K$, respectively, where $K / F$ is a finite multiquadratic extension of separability degree at most 2 .


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this article, we will only consider fields of characteristic 2. Let $K$ be a finite multiquadratic extension of a field $F$ of separability degree at most 2, in other words, $K=F\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{a_{n}}\right)$, or $K=F\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{a_{n}}, \wp^{-1}(b)\right), a_{i}, b \in F^{*}$, where $\wp^{-1}(b)$ is a root of $X^{2}+X+b$. In [1], Aravire and Laghribi computed the kernel $W_{q}(K / F)$ of the natural map (induced by scalar extension) $W_{q}(F) \rightarrow W_{q}(K)$ between the Witt groups of nonsingular quadratic forms over $F$ and $K$, respectively. They show that

$$
W_{q}(K / F)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle 1, a_{i}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F)
$$

in the purely inseparable case, and

$$
W_{q}(K / F)=W(F) \otimes[1, b]+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle 1, a_{i}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F)
$$

in the case of separability degree 2 , where $\left\langle 1, a_{i}\right\rangle_{b}$ is understood to be a binary bilinear form in its diagonal notation, $[a, b]$ represents the nonsingular quadratic form $a x^{2}+x y+b y^{2}$, and $W_{q}(F)$ is considered as a module over the Witt ring of nonsingular symmetric bilinear forms $W(F)$. The proof of Aravire and Laghribi uses differential forms. Actually, they prove more, namely they determine the kernel of the restriction map in Kato's cohomology $H_{2}^{n}(F) \rightarrow H_{2}^{n}(K)$ and then deduce the result on the Witt kernels by using Kato's theorem [7] that $H_{2}^{*}(F)$ is naturally isomorphic to the graded Witt module of quadratic forms over $F$. For more details, we refer the reader to Aravire and Laghribi's article [1] and the references there.

The purpose of the present paper is to give a new more elementary proof of these results on the Witt kernels. Our approach is completely different. On the

[^0]one hand, we show less since we don't get the results on the kernels for the graded Witt modules. On the other hand, we show more. Recall that an extension $K / F$ is called excellent (for quadratic forms) if for any quadratic form $q$ over $F$, the anisotropic part of $q$ over $K$ is defined over $F$, i.e. there exists an anisotropic form $\varphi$ over $F$ with $\left(q_{K}\right)_{\text {an }} \cong \varphi_{K}$. We will show that the extension $K / F$ is excellent if it is purely inseparable of exponent 1, i.e. if $K^{2} \subseteq F$. Using this, we can determine the Witt kernel for the compositum of such an exponent 1 extension with the function field of a quadratic or bilinear Pfister form. Note that the case $K=F\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}, \cdots, \sqrt{a_{n}}, \wp^{-1}(b)\right)$ can be interpreted as the compositum of a finite exponent 1 extension with the function field of a 1 -fold quadratic Pfister form, thus it also becomes a special case of our result.

Our main result is the following:
Main Theorem. Let $K / F$ be a field extension such that $K^{2} \subset F$.
(i) The extension $K / F$ is excellent for quadratic forms.
(ii)

$$
W_{q}(K / F)=\sum_{t \in K^{* 2}}\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F)
$$

In the next section, we introduce some basic terminology on bilinear and quadratic forms in characteristic 2 . In the third section, we prove the main theorem, and in the fourth section, we provide some remarks concerning our main result and we generalize and extend it.

## 2. Terminology and definitions

For all undefined terminology on quadratic and bilinear forms, in particular in characteristic 2, we refer to [2] and [6]. We assume throughout that $F$ is a field of characteristic 2. Bilinear forms are always assumed to be symmetric, and underlying vector spaces of bilinear and quadratic forms are always finite-dimensional.

Let $(B, V)$ be a bilinear form over an $F$-vector space $V$. The radical is defined to be $\operatorname{rad}(B)=\{x \in V \mid B(x, V)=0\}$, and $B$ is said to be nonsingular if $\operatorname{rad}(B)=0$. We define the value sets $D_{F}(B)=\{B(x, x) \mid x \in V \backslash\{0\}\}, D_{F}^{0}(B)=D_{F}(B) \cup\{0\}$ and $D_{F}^{*}(B)=D_{F}(B) \cap F^{*} . B$ is called isotropic if $D_{F}(B)=D_{F}^{0}(B)$ and anisotropic if $D_{F}(B)=D_{F}^{*}(B)$. One has the usual notions of isometry $\cong$, orthogonal sum $\perp$ and tensor product $\otimes$ of bilinear forms. In the sequel, we always assume bilinear forms to be nonsingular.

A 2-dimensional isotropic bilinear form is called a metabolic plane, in which case one can always find a basis such that the Gram matrix with respect to that basis is of the shape

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some $a \in F$. If $a=0$, this is called a hyperbolic plane. A bilinear form $B$ is said to be metabolic (resp. hyperbolic) if it is the orthogonal sum of metabolic (resp. hyperbolic) planes. It is not difficult to see that a form $B$ is hyperbolic iff $D_{F}(B)=\{0\}$.

If the Gram matrix of a form $B$ with respect to a certain basis is a diagonal matrix with entries $a_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n=\operatorname{dim}(B)$, then we write $B \cong\left\langle a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\rangle_{b}$. A diagonalization exists iff $B$ is not hyperbolic.

A bilinear form $B$ can be decomposed as $B \cong B_{\text {an }} \perp B_{m}$ with $B_{\text {an }}$ anisotropic and $B_{m}$ metabolic. $B_{\text {an }}$ is uniquely determined up to isometry, but generally not $B_{m}$.

We call two bilinear forms $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ Witt equivalent if $B_{\mathrm{an}} \cong B_{\mathrm{an}}^{\prime}$. The equivalence classes together with addition induced by $\perp$ and multiplication induced by $\otimes$ define the Witt ring of $F$ denoted by $W(F)$.

An $n$-fold bilinear Pfister form (or bilinear $n$-Pfister for short) is a form of type $\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{b}:=\left\langle 1, a_{1}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left\langle 1, a_{n}\right\rangle_{b}$ for some $a_{i} \in F^{*}$. A bilinear Pfister form $\pi$ is round, i.e., $\pi \cong x \pi$ for all $x \in D_{F}(\pi)^{*}$, and $\pi$ is isotropic iff $\pi$ is metabolic.

Now let $(q, V)$ be a quadratic form over an $F$-vector space $V$, with associated bilinear form $B_{q}(x, y)=q(x+y)+q(x)+q(y)$. One defines the $\operatorname{radical} \operatorname{rad}(q)=$ $\operatorname{rad}\left(B_{q}\right)$ and calls $q$ nonsingular if $\operatorname{rad}(q)=0$ and totally singular if $\operatorname{rad}(q)=V$. Isometry $\cong$ and orthogonal sum $\perp$ are defined in the usual way, and we define $D_{F}(q)=\{q(x) \mid x \in V \backslash\{0\}\}, D_{F}^{0}(q)=D_{F}(q) \cup\{0\}$ and $D_{F}^{*}(q)=D_{F}(q) \cap F^{*}$, and we call $q$ isotropic resp. anisotropic if $D_{F}(q)=D_{F}^{0}(q)$ resp. $D_{F}(q)=D_{F}^{*}(q)$. A nonsingular quadratic form can always be written with respect to a suitable basis as $a x^{2}+x y+b y^{2}$ with $a, b \in F$, and we denote this form by $[a, b]$. Totally singular forms are exactly the diagonal forms $a_{1} x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+a_{n} x_{n}^{2}$, for which we write $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$. A hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}$ is a 2 -dimensional nonsingular isotropic quadratic form and one has $\mathbb{H} \cong[0,0]$, and a quadratic form is called hyperbolic if it is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes. The form $[a, b]$ is hyperbolic iff $a b \in \wp(F)=\left\{c^{2}+c \mid c \in F\right\}$.

Each quadratic form $q$ has a decomposition $q \cong q_{r} \perp q_{s}$ with $q_{r}$ nonsingular and $q_{s}$ totally singular. In fact, $q_{s}$ is nothing but the restriction of $q$ to $\operatorname{rad}(q)$ and it is thus uniquely determined, whereas $q_{r}$ is generally not uniquely determined up to isometry, but $\operatorname{dim}\left(q_{r}\right)$ is uniquely determined. This decomposition can be refined as follows:

$$
q \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{H} \perp \ldots \perp \mathbb{H}}_{k \text { times }} \perp q_{0} \perp\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle \perp\langle\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{\ell \text { times }}\rangle
$$

such that $q_{0}$ is nonsingular and $q_{\mathrm{an}}=q_{0} \perp\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle$ is anisotropic. In this decomposition, $k$ is uniquely determined and called the Witt index $i_{W}(q)$ of $q, \ell$ is uniquely determined and called the defect $i_{d}(q)$ of $q, q_{\text {an }}$ is uniquely determined up to isometry and called the anisotropic part of $q$, and $(k \times \mathbb{H}) \perp q_{\text {an }}$ is also uniquely determined up to isometry and called the nondefective part of $q$.

If $(q, V)$ and $\left(q^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ are quadratic forms defined on $F$-vector spaces $V$ and $V^{\prime}$, respectively, then we say that $q^{\prime}$ dominates $q, q \prec q^{\prime}$, it there exists an injective $F$-linear map $t: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ with $q^{\prime}(t x)=q(x)$ for all $x \in V$.

We call two quadratic forms $q, q^{\prime}$ Witt equivalent, $q \sim q^{\prime}$, if $q_{\mathrm{an}} \cong q_{\mathrm{an}}^{\prime}$. The classes of nonsingular quadratic forms together with addition induced by the orthogonal sum form the Witt group $W_{q}(F)$ of quadratic forms. $W_{q}(F)$ has a natural structure as $W(F)$-module, essentially given by scaling $\langle a\rangle_{b} \otimes q=a q$.

An $n$-fold quadratic Pfister form (or quadratic $n$-Pfister for short) is a form of type $\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]\right]:=\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{b} \otimes\left[1, a_{n}\right]$ for some $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in F^{*}, a_{n} \in F$. A quadratic Pfister form $\pi$ is round, i.e., $\pi \cong x \pi$ for all $x \in D_{F}(\pi)^{*}$, and $\pi$ is isotropic iff $\pi$ is hyperbolic.

If $\varphi$ is a (quadratic or bilinear) form over $F$ and if $K / F$ is a field extension, then we write $\varphi_{K}:=\varphi \otimes K$ for the form obtained by scalar extension. This induces a natural homomorphism $W(F) \rightarrow W(K)$ resp. $W_{q}(F) \rightarrow W_{q}(K)$ whose kernel will be denoted by $W(K / F)$ resp. $W_{q}(K / F)$. In analogy to the definition of
excellence of field extensions in the theory of quadratic forms in characteristic $\neq 2$ as defined in [3], we say that $K / F$ is excellent for quadratic resp. bilinear forms if for any quadratic resp. bilinear form $\varphi$ over $F$ there exists a form $\psi$ over $F$ with $\left(\varphi_{K}\right)_{\mathrm{an}} \cong \psi_{K}$, in other words, the anisotropic part of $\varphi$ over $K$ is defined over $F$.

## 3. Witt kernels and excellence for extensions of exponent one

Let us now turn to the proof of the main theorem. Throughout this section, $K / F$ will be a field extension with $K^{2} \subset F$. We define

$$
J_{K / F}=\sum_{t \in K^{* 2}}\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F)
$$

Remark 3.1. In [5], it was shown that a purely inseparable exponent 1 extension $K / F$ is excellent for bilinear forms and that the bilinear Witt kernel $W(K / F)$ is generated by $\left\{\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \mid t \in K^{* 2}\right\}$. Hence, one readily has $J_{K / F}=W(K / F) \otimes W_{q}(F)$.

Lemma 3.2. $J_{K / F} \subset W_{q}(K / F)$.
Proof. If $t \in K^{* 2}$, then clearly $\left(\langle 1, t\rangle_{b}\right)_{K} \cong\left(\langle 1,1\rangle_{b}\right)_{K}$ is metabolic and hence, for any $q \in\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F)$ we have $q_{K}=0 \in W_{q}(K)$.
Lemma 3.3. (Cf. [6, Lemma 2.2].) Let $q \cong q_{r} \perp q_{s}$ be a quadratic form over $F$ with $q_{r}$ nonsingular and $q_{s} \cong\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ totally singular, not all $a_{i}=0$, and let $K / F$ be any field extension. Then there exist $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{t} \leq n$ such that for $q_{s}^{\prime} \cong\left\langle a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{r}}\right\rangle$, one has $\left.\left(\left(q_{s}\right)_{K}\right)\right)_{\text {an }} \cong\left(q_{s}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$. and $\left(q_{K}\right)_{\text {an }} \cong \varphi \perp\left(q_{s}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ for some nonsingular $\varphi$ over $K$. In particular, every field extension is excellent for totally singular quadratic forms.

Let us restate the main theorem in more detail.
Theorem 3.4. Let $q \cong q_{r} \perp q_{s}$ be an anisotropic quadratic form over $F$ with $q_{r}$ nonsingular and $q_{s}$ totally singular. Then there exists a nonsingular form $q_{r}^{\prime}$ over $F$ with $\operatorname{dim} q_{r}^{\prime} \leq \operatorname{dim} q_{r}$, a totally singular form $q_{s}^{\prime} \prec q_{s}$ over $F$, and a form $\psi \in J_{K / F}$ such that
(i) $\left(q_{K}\right)_{\mathrm{an}} \cong\left(q_{r}^{\prime} \perp q_{s}^{\prime}\right)_{K}$, and
(ii) $q \perp \psi \sim q_{r}^{\prime} \perp q_{s}$.

In particular, $K / F$ is excellent and $W_{q}(K / F)=J_{K / F}$.
Proof. First note that the result on the Witt kernel follows by considering the case $\operatorname{dim} q_{s}=0$ and $q_{K} \sim 0$ in which case $\operatorname{dim} q_{r}^{\prime}=0$ as well and thus $q \cong \psi$.

To prove (i) and (ii), write $q \cong q_{r} \perp q_{s}$ with $q_{r}$ nonsingular of dimension $2 m$, and $q_{s}$ totally singular of dimension $\ell$, and let $n=\operatorname{dim} q=2 m+\ell$.

If $q_{K}$ is anisotropic, there is nothing to show. Also, one readily sees that by Lemma 3.3, we may assume that if $\operatorname{dim} q_{s}>0$, then $\left(q_{s}\right)_{K}$ is anisotropic.

So let us assume that $q_{K}$ is isotropic, i.e. $\operatorname{dim}\left(q_{K}\right)_{\text {an }}<n$, and $\left(q_{s}\right)_{K}$ is anisotropic. In particular, $m \geq 1$. If $m=1$ and $\ell=0$, then $q \cong a[1, b]$ for some $b \notin \wp(F)$ and some $a \in F^{*}$, and $q_{K}$ being isotropic means that $a[1, b]_{K} \cong \mathbb{H}$ and hence $b \in \wp(K)$ and thus $F\left(\wp^{-1}(b)\right) \subset K$, a contradiction to $K / F$ being purely inseparable.

Hence, we may assume $m \geq 1$ and $n=2 m+\ell \geq 3$.
To prove the theorem, it suffices to construct a form $\beta \in J_{K / F}$ such that for $\widetilde{q}=(q \perp \beta)_{\text {an }}$ one has $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{q}<\operatorname{dim} q$. Then we know that $q_{K} \sim \widetilde{q}_{K}$ and we conclude by a simple induction on $m$.

Let us write

$$
q \cong \underbrace{\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \perp \ldots \perp\left[a_{m}, b_{m}\right]}_{q_{r}} \perp \underbrace{\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\ell}\right\rangle}_{q_{s}} .
$$

Since $q_{K}$ is isotropic, there exist $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{j} \in K, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq \ell$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(a_{i} x_{i}^{2}+x_{i} y_{i}+b_{i} y_{i}^{2}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_{j} z_{j}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and not all $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{j}$ equal to 0 .
Suppose there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ with $x_{i}=y_{i}=0$, or $j \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ with $z_{j}=0$. Then write $q \cong \widehat{q} \perp\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$ resp. $q \cong \widehat{q} \perp\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle$. Thus, $\operatorname{dim} \widehat{q}<\operatorname{dim} q$ and $\widehat{q}_{K}$ is isotropic. We then may proceed by working with $\widehat{q}$ rather than $q$.

So we may assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have $x_{i} \neq 0$ or $y_{i} \neq 0$, and that for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ we have $z_{j} \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, there exists $1 \leq k \leq m$ with $x_{i} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $x_{k+1}=\ldots=x_{m}=0$. In particular, $y_{i} \neq 0$ for $k+1 \leq i \leq m$.

Note that $K^{2} \subseteq F$, so $x_{i}^{2}, y_{i}^{2}, z_{j}^{2} \in F$, so, if $x_{i} \neq 0, \pi_{i} \cong\left\langle 1, x_{i}^{2}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \in J_{K / F}$ and $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \perp \pi_{i} \sim\left[a_{i} x_{i}^{2}, \frac{b_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}}\right]$. Put

$$
q_{1}:=\left[a_{1} x_{1}^{2}, \frac{b_{1}}{x_{1}^{2}}\right] \perp \ldots \perp\left[a_{k} x_{k}^{2}, \frac{b_{k}}{x_{k}^{2}}\right] \perp\left[a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}\right] \perp \ldots \perp\left[a_{m}, b_{m}\right] \perp q_{s} .
$$

Then $q_{1} \sim q \perp \pi_{1} \perp \ldots \perp \pi_{k}, \operatorname{dim} q=\operatorname{dim} q_{1}$ and $q_{K} \sim\left(q_{1}\right)_{K}$. Since we are interested in the anisotropic part of $q$ over $K$ and since $q_{1}$ differs from $q$ by a form in $J_{K / F} \subseteq W_{q}(K / F)$, and since

$$
a_{i} x_{i}^{2}+x_{i} y_{i}+b_{i} y_{i}^{2}=\left(a_{i} x_{i}^{2}\right) \cdot 1^{2}+1 \cdot\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)+\frac{b_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}}\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

we may assume furthermore, by replacing $q$ by $q_{1}$, that in Eq. 3.1 and by reassigning the letters $a_{i}, b_{i}$, we have $x_{1}=\ldots=x_{k}=1$, so together with the other assumptions

$$
0=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}+y_{i}+b_{i} y_{i}^{2}\right)+\sum_{i=k+1}^{m} b_{i} y_{i}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_{j} z_{j}^{2} .
$$

Now using repeatedly $[t, u] \perp[v, w] \cong[t+v, u] \perp[v, u+w]$, we get
(3.2) $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \perp \ldots \perp\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right] \cong\left[a_{1}+\ldots+a_{k}, b_{1}\right] \perp\left[a_{2}, b_{1}+b_{2}\right] \perp \ldots \perp\left[a_{k}, b_{1}+b_{k}\right]$.

Note that furthermore

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left(a_{1}+\ldots+a_{k}\right)+\left(y_{1}+\ldots+y_{k}\right)+b_{1}\left(y_{1}+\ldots+y_{k}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right) y_{2}^{2}+\ldots+\left(b_{1}+b_{k}\right) y_{k}^{2}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{m} b_{i} y_{i}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_{j} z_{j}^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

so in view of Eqs. 3.2 and 3.3, we may assume $k=1$, i.e. in Eq. 3.1, we have $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=\ldots=x_{m}=0$. We also still may assume that $y_{i} \neq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$ or else we could again omit those terms $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$ with $i \geq 2$ and $y_{i}=0$. By adding forms in $J_{K / F}$ similarly as above, we may furthermore assume $y_{2}=\ldots=y_{m}=1$, so that Eq. 3.1 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=a_{1}+y_{1}+b_{1} y_{1}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_{j} z_{j}^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, again similarly as before,
(3.5)

$$
\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \perp \ldots \perp\left[a_{m}, b_{m}\right] \cong\left[a_{2}, b_{2}+\ldots+b_{m}\right] \perp\left[a_{2}+a_{3}, b_{3}\right] \perp \ldots \perp\left[a_{2}+a_{m}, b_{m}\right]
$$

Eqs. 3.4 and 3.5 together imply that in Eq. 3.1, we may assume $m \leq 2, x_{1}=1$ and $y_{2}=1$ (if $m=2$ ), still with $2 m+\ell \geq 3$.

Suppose $\ell=0$. Then $m=2$ and we are in the case $q \cong\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \perp\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]$ and there exists $y \in K$ with $a_{1}+y+b_{1} y^{2}+b_{2}=0$. But $y^{2} \in F$, therefore also $y \in F$ and we have that $q$ is also isotropic over $F$, a contradiction.

Suppose now $\ell>0$ and $m=2$. So $q \cong\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \perp\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \perp\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots c_{\ell}\right\rangle$ and Eq. 3.1 becomes with the above assumptions $0=a_{1}+y+b_{1} y^{2}+b_{2}+c_{1} z_{1}^{2}+\ldots+c_{\ell} z_{\ell}^{2}$ with $y, z_{i} \in K$. Recall that by assumption all $z_{i} \in K^{*}$, hence $z_{i}^{2} \in F^{*}$. Then put

$$
\tau_{i}:=\left\langle 1, z_{i}^{2}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes\left[a_{2} z_{i}^{2}, c_{i}\right] \cong\left[a_{2} z_{i}^{2}, c_{i}\right] \perp\left[c_{i} z_{i}^{2}, a_{2}\right] \in J_{K / F}
$$

and we have

$$
\left[a_{2}, d\right] \perp\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle \perp \tau_{i} \sim\left[a_{2}, d+c_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right] \perp\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle
$$

and hence, with $\tau \cong \tau_{1} \perp \ldots \perp \tau_{\ell} \in J_{K / F}$, we get

$$
\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \perp q_{s} \perp \tau \sim\left[a_{2}, b_{2}+c_{1} z_{1}^{2}+\ldots+c_{\ell} z_{\ell}^{2}\right] \perp q_{s}
$$

Put $b_{2}^{\prime}=b_{2}+c_{1} z_{1}^{2}+\ldots+c_{\ell} z_{\ell}^{2}$. This shows that for $q_{2}:=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \perp\left[a_{2}, b_{2}^{\prime}\right] \perp$ $q_{s}$ we have $q \perp \tau \sim q_{2}$, hence $q_{K} \sim\left(q_{2}\right)_{K}$ and (with the same $y$ as before) $a_{1}+y+b_{1} y^{2}+b_{2}^{\prime}=0$, so $q_{2}$ is isotropic, and $\widetilde{q}:=\left(q_{2}\right)_{\text {an }}$ is the desired form with $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{q}<\operatorname{dim} q_{2}=\operatorname{dim} q$.

Suppose finally that $\ell>0$ and $m=1$, so $q \cong\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \perp\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots c_{\ell}\right\rangle$ and Eq. 3.1 becomes with the above assumptions $0=a_{1}+y+b_{1} y^{2}+c_{1} z_{1}^{2}+\ldots+c_{\ell} z_{\ell}^{2}$ with $y, z_{i} \in K$.

If $y=0$, put

$$
\rho_{i}:=\left\langle 1, z_{i}^{2}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes\left[b_{1} z_{i}^{2}, c_{i}\right] \cong\left[b_{1} z_{i}^{2}, c_{i}\right] \perp\left[b_{1}, c_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right] \in J_{K / F},
$$

and we have

$$
\left[d, b_{1}\right] \perp\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle \perp \rho_{i} \sim\left[d+c_{i} z_{i}^{2}, b_{1}\right] \perp\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle
$$

and with $\rho:=\rho_{1} \perp \ldots \perp \rho_{\ell} \in J_{K / F}$, we get similarly as before

$$
q \perp \rho \sim[\underbrace{a_{1}+c_{1} z_{1}^{2}+\ldots+c_{\ell} z_{\ell}^{2}}_{0}, b_{1}] \perp q_{s} \sim q_{s} .
$$

and $\widetilde{q}:=q_{s}$ is the desired form.
If $y \neq 0$, put

$$
\nu_{i}:=\left\langle 1, \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{y^{2}}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes\left[a_{1} \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{y^{2}}, c_{i}\right] \cong\left[a \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{y^{2}}, c_{i}\right] \perp\left[a_{1}, c_{i} \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{y^{2}}\right] \in J_{K / F},
$$

and we have

$$
\left[a_{1}, d\right] \perp\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle \perp \nu_{i} \sim\left[a_{1}, d+c_{i} \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{y^{2}}\right] \perp\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle
$$

and with $\nu:=\nu_{1} \perp \ldots \perp \nu_{\ell} \in J_{K / F}$ and $b_{1}^{\prime}:=b_{1}+c_{1} \frac{z_{1}^{2}}{y^{2}}+\ldots+c_{\ell} \frac{z_{\ell}^{2}}{y^{2}}$ we get

$$
q \perp \nu \sim\left[a_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}\right] \perp q_{s}
$$

and (with the same $y$ as before) $a_{1}+y+b_{1}^{\prime} y^{2}=0$, i.e. $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ is isotropic and hence hyperbolic, and $q \perp \nu \sim q_{s}$, and again $\widetilde{q}:=q_{s}$ is the desired form. This completes the proof.

## 4. Some corollaries and Remarks

We are now interested in extensions that are obtained by composing purely inseparable exponent 1 extensions with function fields of Pfister forms, and in the Witt kernels of these new extensions.

If $\pi$ is an $n$-fold quadratic Pfister form over $F(n \geq 1)$, then let $F(\pi)$ be the function field of the projective quadric $X_{\pi}$ given by the equation $\pi=0$.

If $B=\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle_{b}, a_{i} \in F^{*}\right.$, is an $n$-fold bilinear Pfister form with associated totally singular quadratic form $q(x)=B(x, x)$, i.e. $q=\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$, then there exist $k \leq n$ and $1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq n$ with $q_{\text {an }} \cong\left\langle\left\langle a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ (see, e.g., $[6, \S 8]$ ). Put $B_{0}=\left\langle\left\langle a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\rangle_{b}\right.$. We define the function field $F(B):=F(q)$. Then $F(q)$ is a purely transcendental extension of $F\left(q_{\text {an }}\right)=F\left(B_{0}\right)$ (see, e.g., [4, Remark 7.4(iii)]). Since anisotropic forms stay anisotropic over purely transcendental extensions, we have that $W_{q}(F(B) / F)=W_{q}\left(F\left(B_{0}\right) / F\right)$. Note that if $k=0$, i.e. $q_{\text {an }} \cong\langle 1\rangle$ and thus $B_{0} \cong\langle 1\rangle_{b}$, we have $F\left(B_{0}\right)=F$ and hence $W_{q}(F(B) / F)=W_{q}\left(F\left(B_{0}\right) / F\right)=0$.

We have the following results on Witt kernels for function fields of Pfister forms.
Proposition 4.1. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $\pi$ is an $n$-fold quadratic Pfister form over $F$, or let $B=\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{b}, a_{i} \in F^{*}$, be an $n$-fold bilinear Pfister form with associated totally singular quadratic form $q(x)=B(x, x)$ such that $\operatorname{dim} q_{\text {an }} \geq 2$, i.e. $\left[F^{2}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right): F^{2}\right]>1$.
(i) $\left([8\right.$, Th. $1.4(2)]$ or $\left[2\right.$, Cor. 23.6].) Let $\varphi \in W_{q}(F(\pi) / F)$ be anisotropic, then there exists a bilinear form $\beta$ with $\varphi \cong \beta \otimes \pi$. In particular, $W_{q}(F(\pi) / F)=$ $W(F) \otimes \pi$.
(ii) ([8, Th. 1.4(3)].) Let $\varphi$ be an anisotropic form in $W_{q}(F(B) / F)$, then there exists a nonsingular quadratic form $\tau$ with $\varphi \cong B_{0} \otimes \tau$. In particular, $W_{q}(F(B) / F)=B_{0} \otimes W_{q}(F)$.
Remark 4.2. Note that for $b \in F \backslash \wp(F), \pi=[1, b]$ is an anisotropic 1-fold quadratic Pfister form and $F(\pi)=F\left(\wp^{-1}(b)\right)$, and for $a \in F \backslash F^{2}, B=\langle 1, a\rangle_{b}$ is an anisotropic 1-fold bilinear Pfister form and $F(B)=F(\sqrt{a})$, so the kernels in Proposition 4.1 are nothing but the well known ones for separable resp. inseparable quadratic extensions.

Corollary 4.3. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $\pi$ be an $n$-fold quadratic Pfister form over $F$. Let $K$ be a purely inseparable extension of $F$ of exponent 1. Let $L=K(\pi)$. Then $W_{q}(L / F)=W_{q}(K / F)+W F \otimes \pi$.
Proof. Clearly, $W_{q}(K / F)+W F \otimes \pi \subseteq W_{q}(L / F)$. Conversely, let $\varphi$ be a form over $F$ with $\varphi \in W_{q}(L / F)$. By the excellence property of $K / F$, there exists a form $\psi$ over $F$ with $\left(\varphi_{K}\right)_{\text {an }} \cong \psi_{K}$. If $\operatorname{dim} \psi=0$ then $\varphi \in W_{q}(K / F)$ and we are done. So suppose $\operatorname{dim} \psi>0$. Since $0=\varphi_{L}=\psi_{L} \in W_{q}(L)$, it follows by Proposition 4.1 that there exists a bilinear form $\beta$ over $L$ with $\psi_{L} \cong \beta \otimes \pi_{L}$. By the excellence of $K / F$, we may in fact assume that $\beta$ is already defined over $F$, see [3, Prop. 2.11] (the argument there works also in characteristic 2 ), so we may put $\psi \cong \beta \otimes \pi$. But then $\varphi-\beta \otimes \pi \in W_{q}(K / F)$ and hence $\varphi \in W_{q}(K / F)+W F \otimes \pi$ as desired.
Lemma 4.4. Let $K / F$ be a purely inseparable extension of exponent 1 , let $B$ be a bilinear Pfister form over $F$ and let $\rho$ be a nonsingular quadratic form over $K$ such that $B_{K} \otimes \rho$ is defined over $F$, i.e. there exists a quadratic form $\varphi$ over $F$ with $\varphi_{K} \cong B_{K} \otimes \rho$. Then there exists a nonsingular quadratic form $\rho_{0}$ over $F$ with $\varphi_{K} \cong B_{K} \otimes \rho \cong\left(B \otimes \rho_{0}\right)_{K}$.

Proof. This is essentially [9, Th. 1], except that there $K$ was assumed to be an inseparable quadratic extension. But the proof works in exactly the same way by using the fact that for any $b \in K$, one has that $[1, b]$ is defined over $F$ since $[1, b] \cong\left[1, b^{2}\right]$ over $K$ with $b^{2} \in F$ since $K^{2} \subset F$.

Corollary 4.5. Let $K$ be a purely inseparable extension of $F$ of exponent 1. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $B=\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{b}, a_{i} \in F^{*}$, be an $n$-fold bilinear Pfister form over $F$ with associated totally singular quadratic form $q=\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$, and let $k \leq n$ and $1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq n$ be such that $\left(q_{K}\right)_{\text {an }} \cong\left\langle\left\langle a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{K}$. Put $B_{0}=\left\langle\left\langle a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\rangle_{b}\right.$. Let $L=K(B)$. If $\operatorname{dim} B_{0}=1$ then $W_{q}(L / F)=W_{q}(K / F)$. If $\operatorname{dim} B_{0}>1$ then $W_{q}(L / F)=W_{q}(K / F)+B_{0} \otimes W_{q}(F)$.

Proof. By the remarks preceding Proposition 4.1, we have that $K(B) / K\left(B_{0}\right)$ is purely transcendental, hence $W_{q}(L / F)=W_{q}\left(K\left(B_{0}\right) / F\right)$. We are done if $\operatorname{dim} B_{0}=$ 1 as then $K\left(B_{0}\right)=K$. So let us assume $\operatorname{dim} B_{0}>1$. Clearly, $W_{q}(K / F)+B_{0} \otimes$ $W_{q}(F) \subseteq W_{q}(L / F)$. Conversely, let $\varphi$ be a form over $F$ with $\varphi \in W_{q}(L / F)=$ $W_{q}\left(K\left(B_{0}\right) / F\right)$. By the excellence property of $K / F$, there exists a form $\psi$ over $F$ with $\left(\varphi_{K}\right)_{\text {an }} \cong \psi_{K}$. If $\operatorname{dim} \psi=0$ then $\varphi \in W_{q}(K / F)$ and we are done. So suppose $\operatorname{dim} \psi>0$. Since $0=\varphi_{K\left(B_{0}\right)}=\psi_{K\left(B_{0}\right)} \in W_{q}\left(K\left(B_{0}\right)\right)$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that there exists a nonsingular form $\tau$ over $K$ with $\psi_{K} \cong\left(B_{0}\right)_{K} \otimes \tau$. By Lemma 4.4, we may assume that $\tau$ is already defined over $F$, hence we may put $\psi \cong B_{0} \otimes \tau$. But then $\varphi-B_{0} \otimes \tau \in W_{q}(K / F)$ and hence $\varphi \in W_{q}(K / F)+B_{0} \otimes W_{q}(F)$ as desired.

Theorem 3.4 essentially says that $W_{q}(K / F)$ is additively generated by forms $\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \otimes[c, d]$ with $t \in K^{* 2}$ and $c, d \in F$. We next show that we don't need all $t \in K^{* 2}$ for this to be true. Recall that a subset $T \subset F$ is called 2-independent if for all finite subsets $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\} \subseteq T$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_{i} \neq t_{j}$ for $i \neq j$, one has

$$
\left[F\left(\sqrt{t_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{t_{n}}\right): F\right]=\left[F^{2}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right): F^{2}\right]=2^{n}
$$

A 2-independent set $T$ with $F^{2}(T)=F$ is called a 2-basis of $F$.
If $K / F$ is a purely inseparable exponent 1 extension, then clearly there exists a 2-independent set $T \subseteq F$ with $K=F(\sqrt{t} \mid t \in T)$.
Corollary 4.6. Let $K / F$ be a purely inseparable exponent 1 extension, and let $T \subseteq F$ be a 2-independent set such that $K=F(\sqrt{t} \mid t \in T)$. Then

$$
W_{q}(K / F)=\sum_{t \in T}\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F)
$$

Proof. Obviously, $\sum_{t \in T}\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F) \subseteq W_{q}(K / F)$ as $t \in T$ implies $t \in K^{* 2}$.
For the reverse inclusion, let $q \in W_{q}(K / F)$ be anisotropic. It is clear that there exists already a finite subset $T^{\prime} \subset T$ such that for $K^{\prime}=F\left(\sqrt{t} \mid t \in T^{\prime}\right)$, we have $q \in W_{q}\left(K^{\prime} / F\right)$. By invoking Proposition 4.1 and Remark 4.2 together with Corollary 4.5, an easy induction on the cardinality $\left|T^{\prime}\right|$ shows that $W_{q}\left(K^{\prime} / F\right)=$ $\sum_{t \in T^{\prime}}\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F)$. Hence $q \in W_{q}\left(K^{\prime} / F\right) \subseteq \sum_{t \in T}\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F)$ as desired.

Corollary 4.6 together with Corollary 4.3 (in the case $n=1$, see Remark 4.2) immediately imply the result by Aravire and Laghribi [1] mentioned in the introduction.

Corollary 4.7. Let $K=F\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{a_{n}}\right), a_{i} \in F^{*}$, and $L=K\left(\wp^{-1}(b)\right)$ for some $b \in F$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{q}(K / F) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle 1, a_{i}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F) \\
W_{q}(L / F) & =W(F) \otimes[1, b]+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle 1, a_{i}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes W_{q}(F)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.8. A different way of deriving the previous corollary for $W_{q}(K / F)$ from our Theorem 3.4 is as follows. It suffices to show that each form of type $\langle 1, t\rangle_{b} \otimes[c, d]$, $t \in K^{* 2}, c, d \in F$, can be written in $W_{q}(F)$ as a sum of forms of type $\left\langle 1, a_{i}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes q_{i}$ for suitable nonsingular quadratic forms $q_{i}$ over $F$.

Now $t \in F^{2}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$, so for each $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ there exist $x_{I} \in F$ such that $t=\sum_{I \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left(\prod_{i \in I} a_{i}\right) x_{I}^{2}$. The desired result now follows by a straightforward induction on $n$ using the following relations in $W_{q}(F)$, where we assume $w \in F$, $u, v, x \in F^{*}$ with $u+v \neq 0$ in the third relation:

- $\langle 1,1\rangle_{b} \otimes[1, w]=0 ;$
- $\left\langle 1, u x^{2}\right\rangle_{b} \otimes[1, w]=\langle 1, u\rangle_{b} \otimes[1, w] ;$
- $\langle 1, u+v\rangle_{b} \otimes[1, w]=\langle 1, u\rangle_{b} \otimes\left[1, \frac{w u}{u+v}\right]+\langle 1, v\rangle_{b} \otimes\left[1, \frac{w v}{u+v}\right]$;
- $\langle 1, u v\rangle_{b} \otimes[1, w]=\langle 1, u\rangle_{b} \otimes[1, w]+\langle 1, v\rangle_{b} \otimes\left[u, \frac{w}{u}\right]$.
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