

# A PROOF OF TYCHONOFF'S THEOREM

ANTONIOS MANOUSSOS

## 1. NETS AND COMPACTNESS

**Definition 1.1.** We say that a net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  has  $x \in X$  as a *cluster point* if and only if for each neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and for each  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  there exist some  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  such that  $x_\lambda \in U$ . In this case we say that  $\{x_\lambda\}$  is *cofinally* (or *frequently*) in each neighborhood of  $x$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** A net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  has  $y \in X$  as a cluster point if and only if it has a subnet which converges to  $y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y$  be a cluster point of  $\{x_\lambda\}$ . Define

$$M := \{(\lambda, U) : \lambda \in \Lambda, U \text{ a neighborhood of } y \text{ such that } x_\lambda \in U\},$$

and order  $M$  as follows:  $(\lambda_1, U_1) \leq (\lambda_2, U_2)$  if and only if  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$  and  $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ . This is easily verified to be a direction on  $M$ . Define  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \Lambda$  by  $\varphi(\lambda, U) = \lambda$ . Then  $\varphi$  is increasing and cofinal in  $\Lambda$ , so  $\varphi$  defines a subnet of  $\{x_\lambda\}$ . Let  $U_0$  be any neighborhood of  $y$  and find  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $x_{\lambda_0} \in U_0$ . Then  $(\lambda_0, U_0) \in M$ , and moreover,  $(\lambda, U) \geq (\lambda_0, U_0)$  implies  $U \subseteq U_0$ , so that  $x_\lambda \in U \subseteq U_0$ . It follows that the subnet defined by  $\varphi$  converges to  $y$ .

Suppose  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \Lambda$  defines a subnet of  $\{x_\lambda\}$  which converges to  $y$ . Then for each neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$ , there is some  $u_U$  in  $M$  such that  $u \geq u_U$  implies  $x_{\varphi(u)} \in U$ . Suppose a neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  and a point  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  are given. Since  $\varphi(M)$  is cofinal in  $\Lambda$ , there is some  $u_0 \in M$  such that  $\varphi(u_0) \geq \lambda_0$ . But there is also some  $u_U \in M$  such that  $u \geq u_U$  implies  $x_{\varphi(u)} \in U$ . Pick  $u^* \geq u_0$  and  $u^* \geq u_U$ . Then  $\varphi(u^*) = \lambda^* \geq \lambda_0$ , since  $\varphi(u^*) \geq \varphi(u_0)$ , and  $x_{\lambda^*} = x_{\varphi(u^*)} \in U$ , since  $u^* \geq u_U$ . Thus for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  and any  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ , there is some  $\lambda^* \geq \lambda_0$  with  $x_{\lambda^*} \in U$ . It follows that  $y$  is a cluster point of  $\{x_\lambda\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.3.** A topological space  $X$  is compact if and only if every net on  $X$  has a convergent subnet on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is compact, and suppose that we have a net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  that does not have any convergent subnet. Hence, using the previous theorem, the net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  does not have cluster points. This means that for each  $x \in X$  we can find a neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  and

an index  $\lambda_x$  such that  $x_\lambda \notin U_x$  for every  $\lambda \geq \lambda_x$ . Since  $X$  is compact then there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$ . Take any  $\lambda \geq \lambda_{x_1}, \lambda_{x_2}, \dots, \lambda_{x_n}$ . Then  $x_\lambda \notin X$  which is a contradiction.

Assume that every net on  $X$  has a convergent subnet on  $X$ . We will show that  $X$  is compact. To this end take a family  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i : i \in I\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  with the finite intersection property, that is  $F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n} \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq I$ . We will show that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i \neq \emptyset$ . Define a net as follows: Let

$$\Lambda = \{ \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\} : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in I \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \},$$

and order  $\Lambda$  as follows:  $\lambda_1 = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \leq \lambda_2 = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n\}$  if and only if  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n\}$ . This is easily verified to be a direction on  $\Lambda$ . Since the family  $\mathcal{F}$  has the finite intersection property then for every  $\lambda = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\} \in \Lambda$  we can find  $x_\lambda \in F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ . Using our hypothesis, the net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  has a convergent subnet, let say  $\{x_{\lambda_m}\}$ . That is, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x_{\lambda_m} \rightarrow x$ . We will show that  $x \in F_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Fix some  $F_i$ . Hence, there exists  $m_0$  such that  $\lambda_{m_0} \geq \{i\}$ . Thus, for every  $\lambda_m = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, i\} \geq \lambda_{m_0} \geq \{i\}$  we have that  $x_{\lambda_m} \in F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n} \cap F_i \subseteq F_i$ . Since  $x_{\lambda_m} \rightarrow x$  and  $F_i$  is closed then  $x \in F_i$ . This finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## 2. ULTRANETS AND TYCHONOFF'S THEOREM

**Definition 2.1.** A net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  in a set  $X$  is an *ultranet* (*universal net*) if and only if for each subset  $E$  of  $X$ ,  $\{x_\lambda\}$  is either residually in  $E$  or residually in  $X \setminus E$ .

*Remark 2.2.* It follows from this definition that an ultranet must converge to each of its cluster points since if an ultranet is frequently in a set  $E$  then it is residually in  $E$ . A trivial example of an ultranet is the following: For any directed set  $\Lambda$ , the map  $P : \Lambda \rightarrow X$ , defined by  $P(\lambda) = x$  for a fixed point  $x \in X$  and for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , gives an ultranet on  $X$ , called the *trivial ultranet*.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Every net  $\{x_\lambda\}$  has a subnet which is an ultranet.*

*Proof.* The proof follows by *Zorn's Lemma* but this is beyond the scope of these short notes.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X, Y$  be two non-empty sets. If  $\{x_\lambda\}$  is an ultranet in  $X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a map, then  $\{f(x_\lambda)\}$  is an ultranet.*

*Proof.* If  $B \subseteq Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(B) = X \setminus f^{-1}(Y \setminus B)$ , so  $\{x_\lambda\}$  is eventually in either  $f^{-1}(B)$  or  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus B)$ , from which it follows that  $\{f(x_\lambda)\}$  is eventually in  $B$  or  $Y \setminus B$ . Thus,  $\{f(x_\lambda)\}$  is an ultranet.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5 (Tychonoff).** *A non-empty product  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  is compact if and only if each factor  $X_i$  is compact.*

*Proof.* If the product space is non-empty, then the projection maps  $pr_i : \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow X_i$  are all continuous surjections, so each factor  $X_i$  is compact.

For the converse implication assume that  $X_i$  is compact for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $\{x_\lambda\}$  be a net in  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ . By Theorem 2.3,  $\{x_\lambda\}$  has a subnet  $\{x_{\lambda_m}\}$  which is an ultranet. Then, by Theorem 2.4, for each fixed  $i \in I$ , the net  $\{pr_i(x_{\lambda_m})\}$  is an ultranet in  $X_i$ , hence has a convergent subnet in  $X_i$  (see Theorem 1.3). So, by Remark 2.2, the net  $\{pr_i(x_{\lambda_m})\}$  converges in  $X_i$  from which it follows that  $\{x_{\lambda_m}\}$  converges in  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ . Thus, by

Theorem 1.3, the product  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  is compact.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Willard S., *General Topology*. Reprint of the 1970 original [Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA]. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 1995.

-----