# REMARKS ON JORDAN ALGEBRAS (DIM 9, DEG 3), CUBIC SURFACES, AND DEL PEZZO SURFACES (DEG 6) 

MARKUS ROST

## The purpose of these notes is to record some formulas and remarks.

 Everything deserves a check.1. A construction of Jordan algebras (DEG 3, dim 9)

The base field $F$ has char $\neq 3$.
Let us ask for a functorial construction

$$
\mathbb{B}:(L, K) \mapsto B(L, K)
$$

which associates to an ordered pair of separable degree 3 extensions a 9-dimensional Jordan algebra of degree 3. Consider the split cases $L=K=F \oplus F \oplus F$ and let $\tilde{B}=B(L, K)$. The functoriality of $\mathbb{B}$ then yields a homomorphism

$$
\Psi_{\mathbb{B}}: S_{3} \times S_{3}=\operatorname{Aut}(L) \times \operatorname{Aut}(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{B})
$$

Clearly $\mathbb{B}$ is determined by $\tilde{B}$ and $\Psi_{\mathbb{B}}$.
Here is an example: Let

$$
Z=F[x] /\left(x^{2}+x+1\right), \quad \sigma: Z \rightarrow Z, \sigma(x)=x^{2}
$$

and let

$$
A=M_{3}(Z), \quad \tau: A \rightarrow A, \tau(a)=\sigma(a)^{t}
$$

Then $(A, \tau)$ is an algebra with involution of second kind. Put

$$
\tilde{B}=A^{\tau} .
$$

There are the (split) subalgebras

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
F & & \\
& F & \\
& & F
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
K=\frac{1}{3}\left(1+\beta+\beta^{2}\right) F \oplus \frac{1}{3}\left(1+x \beta+x^{2} \beta^{2}\right) F \oplus \frac{1}{3}\left(1+x^{2} \beta+x \beta^{2}\right) F
$$

where

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $G$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{B})$ (as Jordan algebra) leaving the subalgebras $L$ and $K$ invariant. The natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(L) \times \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ turns out to be an isomorphism.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathbb{B}$ be as above and suppose that $\Psi_{\mathbb{B}}$ is injective. Then $\mathbb{B}$ is isomorphic to the functor given by the example.
Lemma 2. The last lemma can be extended to unordered pairs of cubic extensions, that is to say to a cubic extension over a quadratic extension. The underlying group is then $\left(S_{3} \times S_{3}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2$.

One would like to see a rational description of $B(L, K)$ for arbitrary $K$ and $L$. Here it is for char $F \neq 2,3$ :

Put

$$
B=B(L, K)=L \otimes K
$$

Let $L_{0} \subset L, K_{0} \subset K$ be the subspaces of trace 0 elements. Define a Jordan product - on $B$ by the following formulas with $\alpha \in L_{0}$ and $\beta \in K_{0}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1 \otimes 1)^{2} & =1 \otimes 1 \\
(\alpha \otimes 1)^{2} & =\alpha^{2} \otimes 1 \\
(1 \otimes \beta)^{2} & =1 \otimes \beta^{2} \\
(\alpha \otimes \beta) \cdot(\alpha \otimes 1) & \left.=\frac{1}{4}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)-2 \alpha^{2}\right) \otimes \beta\right) \\
(\alpha \otimes \beta) \cdot(1 \otimes \beta)^{2} & =\frac{1}{4} \alpha \otimes\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(\beta^{2}\right)-2 \beta^{2}\right) \\
(\alpha \otimes \beta)^{2} & =-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} \otimes \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(\alpha^{2}\right) \otimes \beta^{2}+\alpha^{2} \otimes \operatorname{trace}\left(\beta^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(One could clean these formulas a bit, by using the adjoint $\alpha^{\#}=\alpha-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$.)
If $L$ is cyclic and $K$ is a Kummer extension, then $B(L, K)=A^{+}$where $A$ is the usual crossed product.

From this it is not difficult to see that the $H^{2}-\bmod 3$ invariant of $B$ is the cup product of the $H^{1}-\bmod 3$ invariants of $L$ and $K$ (all of these invariants are defined only up to sign).

Also concerning the "mod2-part" of $B(L, K)$ there is a sort of product.

## Lemma 3.

$$
\operatorname{trace}_{B(L, K) / F} \simeq 3 \operatorname{trace}_{L / F} \otimes \operatorname{trace}_{K / F}
$$

Proof. This follows from the above formulas. There might be a better proof.
Note that the trace form of a cubic extension with discriminant $\delta$ is $\langle 1,2,2 \delta\rangle$. The associated 2 -fold Pfister form is $\langle\langle-2,-\delta\rangle\rangle$.

Lemma 4. Let $\delta_{L}$, $\delta_{K}$ be the discriminants of $L$ and $K$, respectively. Then the $H^{3}(\mathbb{Z} / 2)$-invariant of $B$ is

$$
\left(-2,-\delta_{L},-\delta_{K}\right) \in H^{3}(F, \mathbb{Z} / 2)
$$

This follows from Lemma 3. Before I was aware of Lemma 3 I used the following arguments.
Proof. To check this one looks at our split example $\tilde{B}$ (which has $H^{3}(\mathbb{Z} / 2)$-invariant $(-3,-1,-1))$ and restricts to a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ subgroup of $G$.

To be specific, introduce the following coordinates

$$
\tilde{B}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & \bar{u} & \bar{w} \\
u & b & \bar{v} \\
w & v & c
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a, b, c \in F, u, v, w \in Z\right\}
$$

in $\tilde{B} B$ (with ${ }^{-}=\sigma$ ). Moreover let

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\epsilon, \theta: B \rightarrow B, \quad \epsilon=\operatorname{ad}_{\alpha}, \theta={ }^{t}
$$

Then $\epsilon \theta$ is an element of order 2 in $\operatorname{Aut}(L) \subset G$ and $\theta$ is an element of order 2 in $\operatorname{Aut}(K) \subset G$.

The trace form of $B$ has the diagonal form

$$
\operatorname{trace}_{B}=\langle 1,1\rangle \perp\langle 1\rangle \perp 2\langle 1,3\rangle \perp 2\langle 1,3\rangle \otimes\langle 1,1\rangle
$$

with respect to the coordinates

$$
((a, b), c, u,(v, w)) \in F^{2} \oplus F \oplus Z \oplus Z^{2} \text { and } Z=F \oplus \sqrt{-3} F
$$

After twisting one has

$$
\operatorname{trace}_{B}=2\left\langle 1, \delta_{L}\right\rangle \perp\langle 1\rangle \perp 2\left\langle 1,3 \delta_{K}\right\rangle \perp 2\left\langle 1,3 \delta_{L} \delta_{K}\right\rangle \otimes 2\left\langle 1, \delta_{L}\right\rangle
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trace}_{B} & =\langle 1,1,1\rangle \perp 2\left\langle\left\langle-3 \delta_{K} \delta_{L}\right\rangle\right\rangle \otimes\left\langle 2,2 \delta_{L}, \delta_{L}\right\rangle \\
& =\langle 1,1,1\rangle \perp 2\left\langle\left\langle-3 \delta_{K} \delta_{L}\right\rangle\right\rangle \otimes\left\langle\left\langle-2,-\delta_{L}\right\rangle\right\rangle^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally note $\left\langle\left\langle-3 \delta_{K} \delta_{L},-2,-\delta_{L}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle-2,-\delta_{L},-\delta_{K}\right\rangle\right\rangle$.

## 2. Twisting sums of four cubes

Consider the cubic form

$$
\Phi: L_{0} \otimes K_{0} \rightarrow F, \quad \Phi=\left(\mathrm{N}_{L / F} \mid L_{0}\right) \otimes\left(\mathrm{N}_{K / F} \mid K_{0}\right)
$$

It turns out that $\Phi$ is also given by the norm form of $B(L, K)$ :

$$
\Phi=\mathrm{N}_{B(L, K) / F} \mid\left(L_{0} \otimes K_{0}\right)
$$

Let

$$
C=C(L, K)=\{\Phi=0\} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(L_{0} \otimes K_{0}\right)
$$

be the associated cubic surface.
Suppose that $K=F[x] /\left(x^{3}-b\right)$. Then

$$
\Phi\left(\alpha \otimes x+\alpha^{\prime} \otimes x^{2}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{L / F}(\alpha) b+\mathrm{N}_{L / F}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) b^{2}
$$

In particular, for $L=F[x] /\left(x^{3}-a\right)$ this gives the diagonal cubic form

$$
\Phi=a b\langle 1, a, b, a b\rangle
$$

If $b=1$ and $L=F \oplus F \oplus F$, then $\Phi$ has the form

$$
u v(u+v)+s t(s+t)
$$

Lemma 5. The surface $C(L, K)$ has a rational point if and only if the $H^{2}-\bmod 3-$ invariant of $B(L, K)$ is trivial (i.e., $B(L, K)$ has zero divisors).

Proof. A cubic form is isotropic if and only it is isotropic over a quadratic extension. We may therefore assume that $L=F[x] /\left(x^{3}-a\right)$ and $K=F[x] /\left(x^{3}-b\right)$. In this case the algebras is $(L, b)$ and the cubic form is

$$
\Phi=a b\langle 1, a, b, a b\rangle
$$

which is isotropic if and only if the equation

$$
b=N_{L / F}\left(\frac{u+v x}{w+t x}\right)
$$

has a solution. But any element in $L=F[x] /\left(x^{3}-a\right)$ is of the form

$$
\frac{u+v x}{w+t x}
$$

So the cubic form is isotropic if and only if $b \in N_{L / F}\left(L^{\times}\right)$, i.e., the algebra has zero divisors.

Lemma 6. The surface $C(L, K)$ has a rational point if and only if it rational.
Proof. If $L$ is split, $L=e_{1} F \oplus e_{2} F \oplus e_{3} F$, then $\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right) \otimes K_{0}$ give 3 disjoint lines in the cubic surface. Hence in general there is a set of three lines in $C$ defined over $F$. As Colliot-Thelene informed me, in this case $C$ is rational if and only if $C$ has a rational point. The reference is:
[1] Swinnerton-Dyer, H. P. F., The birationality of cubic surfaces over a given field. Michigan Math. J. 171970 289-295.

This paper is not available to me till now.
Corollary 7. The stable birational equivalence class of $C(L, K)$ depends only on the $H^{2}-\bmod 3-i n v a r i a n t$ of $B(L, K)$ (defined up to sign).

Proof. Indeed, if $C$ is rational over $F\left(C^{\prime}\right)$, and vice versa, then $C \times C^{\prime}$ is stable birational to $C$ and $C^{\prime}$.

Question 1. What about birational equivalence?

## 3. A construction of (all) del Pezzo surface of degree 6

A del Pezzo surface of degree 6 is a form of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ blown up in 3 points in general position. They may be constructed as follows. Let $B$ be a Jordan algebra (of the type as above) and let $L \subset B$ be a separable associative subalgebra of degree 3 . Define

$$
Y(B, L)=\left\{[b] \in \mathbb{P}(B) \mid\left\{b, L_{0}, b\right\}=0\right\}
$$

Here $\{b, \lambda, b\}$ denotes the Jordan triple product.
In the split situation $B=M_{3}^{+}$and $L=\Delta$ (diagonal matrices) this gives

$$
Y\left(M_{3}, \Delta\right)=\left\{[X] \in \mathbb{P}\left(M_{3}\right) \mid X \Delta_{0} X=0\right\}
$$

Any matrix $X$ with $[X] \in Y\left(M_{3}, \Delta\right)$ has rank 1 , so that $X=v \cdot w^{t}$ for some 3 -vectors $v, w$. This gives an identification

$$
Y\left(M_{3}, \Delta\right)=\left\{([v],[w]) \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \mid v_{1} w_{1}=v_{2} w_{2}=v_{3} w_{3}\right\}
$$

In other words, $Y\left(M_{3}, \Delta\right)$ is the "quadratic correspondence of $\mathbb{P}^{2 "}$, as described in Hartshorne's book.

Let's discuss the corresponding automorphism groups.

On $M_{3}$ the group $\mathrm{PGL}_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2$ acts by conjugation and transposition. The subgroup leaving $\Delta_{0}$ invariant is of the form

$$
H=T^{2} \rtimes\left(S_{3} \rtimes Z / 2\right)
$$

with $T^{2}$ a 2-dimensional torus (=projective diagonal matrices).
So $H$ acts on $Y=Y\left(M_{3}, \Delta\right)$, and one finds that $H=\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$, since on such a del Pezzo surface the hexagon consisting of the 6 exceptional lines is left invariant under all automorphisms of $Y$-and so $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ consists of the automorphisms of the toric structure on $Y$.
Corollary 8. There is a bijection between (isomorphism classes of) pairs ( $B, L$ ) and del Pezzo surfaces of degree 6.

Question 2. What about the (stable) birational classification of the $Y$ 's?
The stable question this is not difficult to answer by using the toric structure. There are classifying $H^{2}-\bmod 3$ and $H^{2}-\bmod 2$ invariants.

Let $(A, \tau)$ be an algebra with involution of second kind with center $Z$ such that $B=A^{\tau}$. Then the imbedding of $Y$ to $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ twists to an embedding

$$
Y(B, L) \subset R_{Z / F}(\mathrm{SB}(A))
$$

If $Z$ is split, i.e., $B=A^{+}$for a central simple algebra $A^{\prime}$, then

$$
Y(B, L) \subset \mathrm{SB}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{SB}\left(A^{\prime \mathrm{op}}\right)
$$

The projection to any of the factors is the blow down of 3 lines $R_{L / F}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \subset Y(B, L)$. Let still $B=A^{\tau}$ and let

$$
S=\left\{[b] \in \mathbb{P}(B) \mid \operatorname{rank} b=1, b^{2}=0\right\}
$$

If $B=M_{3}{ }^{+}$, then

$$
S=\left\{([v],[w]) \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \mid v_{1} w_{1}+v_{2} w_{2}+v_{3} w_{3}=0\right\}
$$

The intersection $S \cap Y$ is exactly the hexagon on $Y$.

## 4. Blowing down the cubic surface

We return to the case $L=F \oplus F \oplus F$ and $K=F[x] /\left(x^{3}-1\right)$. Note that then $B(L, K)=M_{3}^{+}$. Then the cubic surface is given by

$$
C=\{u v(u+v)+s t(s+t)=0\}
$$

Consider the map

$$
C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}, \quad(u, v, s, t) \mapsto([-v s, s t, u v],[-u t, u v, s t]) .
$$

If I am not mistaken, this map is everywhere defined, maps to $Y$ and the map $C \rightarrow Y$ is a blow down of 3 lines. The map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ (given by any of the two projections) should be the blow up in the 6 points

$$
[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1],[1,1,1],\left[1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}\right],\left[1, \zeta^{2}, \zeta\right]
$$

with $\zeta$ a primitive $3^{\text {rd }}$ of unity.
Question 3. How to describe these blow downs in the non split situation?

