

# NOTES ON THE ASSOCIATOR

MARKUS ROST

preliminary version

## CONTENTS

Preface . . . . .	2
§1. A relation for associators . . . . .	2
§2. More general relations for the associator? . . . . .	2
§3. Higher relations for the associator? . . . . .	3
3.1. Notes and references . . . . .	3
§4. Questions and Remarks . . . . .	4
References . . . . .	4

## Preface

We describe a 5-term relation for associators. My main interest is to collect related references (and explanations). I am aware only of Schafer 1961 [11]. Further I have perhaps some first understanding of the relation with the associahedron.

Please comment.

### §1. A relation for associators

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mu: M \otimes M &\rightarrow M \\ \mu(x, y) &= xy\end{aligned}$$

be a bilinear product on some  $R$ -module  $M$ . There are no assumptions on associativity, commutativity or unitality.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}(\ , \ , \ ): M^{\otimes 3} &\rightarrow M \\ (x, y, z) &= (xy)z - x(yz)\end{aligned}$$

denote the associator of the algebra  $(M, \mu)$ .

There is the following 5-term relation

$$(*) \quad x(y, z, t) + (x, y, z)t = (xy, z, t) - (x, yz, t) + (x, y, zt)$$

It follows easily by expanding the associator expressions.

According to Schafer 1961 [10, (12), p. 10] or [11, (2.4), p. 13], relation  $(*)$  is “one identity which is sometimes useful and which holds in any algebra”. It seems however that Schafer doesn’t use  $(*)$  in the book.

We follow Schafer here: We opine that  $(*)$  is useful but don’t give any applications. Nevertheless, dear reader, we would like to hear about applications.

Our first application was related with parametrization of algebras. The relation can also be used to establish the associativity for the free product of groups. To give details would lead to far here.

### §2. More general relations for the associator?

I got aware of relation  $(*)$  many years ago.

Since then I was wondering whether there are more relations for the associator, valid for any  $M$  with product  $\mu$ .

The only explicit reference for  $(*)$  I found is Schafer 1961 (loc. cit.).

Recently I realized that the question is closely related to monoidal categories (see for instance Mac Lane 1998 (1971) [5]). In this context the 5-term relation  $(*)$  (and its proof) appears in the form of the pentagon axiom.

In fact, a closer look at Mac Lane 1963 [5, Theorem 3.1, p. 33] reveals that the 5-term relation  $(*)$  is the main relation for the associator.

There are further relations which stem from expanding 2 associators. In the simplest case, a parenthesized expression like

$$(a, b, c) \dots (x, y, z)$$

yields the 4-term relation

$$(ab)c \dots (x, y, z) - a(bc) \dots (x, y, z) = (a, b, c) \dots (xy)z - (a, b, c) \dots x(yz)$$

The 2 associators could also be nested, like

$$(\dots(x, y, z) \dots, b, c), \quad (a, \dots(x, y, z) \dots, c), \quad (a, b, \dots(x, y, z) \dots)$$

giving rise to further 4-term relations.

The 4-term relations are sort of obvious and less sophisticated than the 5-term relation (\*). In the context of monoidal categories the 4-term relations are hidden in the setup.

### §3. Higher relations for the associator?

Once the question for general relations for the associator is settled, one may ask for higher relations, that is, relations among the relations etc.

An answer is provided by the exactness of the chain complex of the associahedron.




Fig. 1 Tamari's associahedron

The vertices (0-cells) of the  $n$ -dimensional associahedron  $T_n$  are the parenthesized expressions in  $n + 2$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+2} \in M$  (each of which appears once and in the given order, as in (\*)). The edges (1-cells) are given by associators appearing in nested ways, the 2-cells correspond to the relations among these associators, etc.

The associahedron  $T_2$  (labeled  $\mathcal{M}_3$  in the picture) is a pentagon with its 2-cell representing the 5-term relation.

The associahedron  $T_3$  (labeled  $\mathcal{M}_4$ ) has as faces besides pentagons some quadrilaterals, each of which representing a 4-term relation.

The chain complex of the associahedron can be described in a combinatorial manner using less and less parenthesized expressions as free generators (this is not the place to give details). The crucial fact then is that this chain complex is the chain complex of a polytope (namely the associahedron). Thus the chain complex is acyclic and we know all general higher relations for the associator.

3.1. **Notes and references.** The image above of Tamari's associahedron has been taken from Stasheff 2012 [13, p. 46] in the Tamari memorial Festschrift 2012 [7]. See also Loday 2012 [3, 8 Realizing of the associahedron, p. 9–10] in the same book.

There seem to be hundreds of millions of related papers, I downloaded tens of thousands of them but read: none. Nevertheless, here are a few further references I want to mention: Stasheff 1963 [12], Markl-Shnider-Stasheff 2002 [6], Leinster 2004 [1], Loday 2004 [2], Loday-Vallette 2012 [4], Pilaud-Santos-Ziegler 2023 [8].

#### §4. Questions and Remarks

- Are there any appearances and applications of the 5-term relation (\*) in the literature on say the field of “non-associative algebras”. As mentioned, I am only aware of Schafer 1961 [10], [11].
- In spite of the (hopefully correct) discussion of the associahedron above, I still haven’t much understood about it.

For monoidal/associahedral people:

What is your answer to the question on general (higher) relations for the associator tensor  $(, , ) : M^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow M$  associated to multiplication tensors  $M^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow M$ ?

What is the genuine way to settle the acyclicity of the associahedral chain complex? (Or at least: what is the state of the art?)

- A first problem is actually how to *formulate* a precise question for relations for the associator tensor. We discussed here the relations among particular parenthesized expressions. One may think of other expressions involving associators. However instead of wildly speculating, one should probably rather look for concrete problems where associators are involved.
- What about relations for associators in some specific cases? I am thinking here of the cases where  $M$  is a locally free  $R$ -module of some finite rank  $n$ .

I am pretty sure that the cases  $n = 2, 3$  are worthwhile to look at (the cases  $n \geq 4$  might get very complicated).

One should include here the unital cases, then of rank 3, 4.

This touches the question of parametrizing algebras of finite rank. The set of equations for a multiplication tensor given by associativity is really a non-trivial one. It is long known that for associative, commutative and unital algebras of higher rank the etale algebras are not dense (the corresponding Hilbert scheme is not connected). See e.g. Poonen 2007 [9, Remark 1.2, p. 818].

#### References

- [1] T. Leinster, *Higher operads, higher categories*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 298, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. MR [2094071](#) 4
- [2] J.-L. Loday, *Realization of the Stasheff polytope*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **83** (2004), no. 3, 267–278. MR [2108555](#) 4
- [3] ———, *Dichotomy of the addition of natural numbers*, Associahedra, Tamari lattices and related structures, Progr. Math., vol. 299, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2012, pp. 65–79. MR [3221534](#) 3
- [4] J.-L. Loday and B. Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 346, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. MR [2954392](#) 4
- [5] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. MR [1712872](#) 2
- [6] M. Markl, S. Shnider, and J. Stasheff, *Operads in algebra, topology and physics*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 96, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR [1898414](#) 4

- [7] F. Müller-Hoissen, J. M. Pallo, and J. Stasheff (eds.), *Associahedra, Tamari lattices and related structures*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 299, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2012, Tamari memorial Festschrift. MR [3235205](#) 3
- [8] V. Pilaud, F. Santos, and G. M. Ziegler, *Celebrating Loday's associahedron*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **121** (2023), no. 5-6, 559–601. MR [4675114](#) 4
- [9] B. Poonen, *The moduli space of commutative algebras of finite rank*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **10** (2008), no. 3, 817–836. MR [2421162](#) 4
- [10] R. D. Schafer, *An introduction to nonassociative algebras*, Department of Mathematics at Oklahoma State University, 1961, Project Gutenberg, EBook #25156, 2008, <https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/25156>, (also: [11]). 2, 4
- [11] ———, *An introduction to nonassociative algebras*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 22, Academic Press, New York-London, 1966. MR [0210757](#) 2, 4, 5
- [12] J. D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of  $H$ -spaces. I, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 293–312, **108** (1963), 275–292; *ibid.* MR [158400](#) 4
- [13] J. Stasheff, *How I 'met' Dov Tamari*, Associahedra, Tamari lattices and related structures, Progr. Math., vol. 299, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2012, pp. 45–63. MR [3221533](#) 3

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, POSTFACH 100131, D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY

*Email address:* `rost at math.uni-bielefeld.de`

*URL:* `www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost`